

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT DHARWAD**

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2012

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.G.RAMESH

Writ Petition Nos.69290-69294/2012 (EDN-AD)

Between:

1. KESHSAV KUMAR T
AGE: 22 YEARS, OCC: STUDENT
R/O. DESHPANDE NAGAR, ANNIGERI
DIST: DHARWAD, REG. NO. 466 EC 10003
2. ROHIT SREEXATRI
AGE: 18 YEARS, OCC: STUDENT
R/O. H.NO. 116, JANTA COLONY
GADAG ROAD, HUBLI, DIST:DHARWAD
REG. NO. 466 EC 09014
3. T. PRASHANT
AGE: 22 YEARS, OCC: STUDENT
R/O. H.NO. 801/A, VINOBA NAGAR
GADAG ROAD, HUBLI
REG. NO. 466 EC 11014
4. SAMPATH KUMAR P
AGE: 20 YEARS, OCC: STUDENT
R/O. H.NO. 548 BHARATH NAGAR
MANTUR ROAD, HUBLI, DHARWAD
REG. NO. 466 CS 10003
5. RAJIV KUMAR KURANI
AGE: 21 YEARS, OCC: STUDENT
R/O. GANDI WADA NEAR CHALUKYA GONA
CHAWL HUBLI
REG. NO. 466 EC 9009

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI SADASHIV S PATIL & G.S MOT, Advocates)

A n d :

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION
VIDHAN SOUDHA, BANGALORE
 2. THE DIRECTOR OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION
PALACE ROAD, BANGALORE-1
 3. THE PRINCIPAL
SAINT JHONS POLYTECHNIC COLLGE
GOPANKOPPA HUBLI
COLLEGE CODE 466
DHARWAD

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. K VIDYAVATHI, AGA FOR R.1 AND R.2,
NOTICE ISSUED TO R.3 AND SERVICE IS AWAITED)

THESE PETITIONS ARE FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE GOVERNMENT CIRCULAR DATED 24.07.2012 IN DTE 22 ACM(2) 2012-13 ISSUED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.,

THESE PETITIONS COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY,
THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

H.G.RAMESH, J. (Oral):

Learned Additional Government Advocate, on instructions, submits that the Government Order dtd. 23.07.2012 is withdrawn and consequently the impugned Circular dtd. 24.07.2012 is also

withdrawn and a fresh Government Order dtd. 17.09.2012 is issued, fixing modified norms for admissions to third & fifth semester of Diploma courses. As the impugned circular has been withdrawn, the Writ Petitions have become infructuous. The Writ Petitions are accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous with liberty to the petitioners to challenge the aforesaid Government Order dtd. 17.09.2012 in accordance with law.

Petitions dismissed.

**SD/-
JUDGE**

Kms