

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ADMIRALTY AND VICE ADMIRALTY JURISDICTION

ADMIRALTY SUIT (L) NO. 2790 OF 2012

Jotun India Pvt Ltd.

- Plaintiff

Versus

m.v. MAHARSHI VAMADEVA

– Defendant

Mr. Bimal Rajasekhar for the Plaintiff

None for the Defendant

CORAM:- M.S. Sanklecha, J.

(Vacation Court)

DATE :- 26th December , 2012

P.C. :

1. Mentioned. Not on board. Taken on board.
2. Learned Advocate for the Plaintiff states that the parties have entered into a settlement agreement, that he wishes to vacate the order of arrest dated 14th December 2012 and to withdraw the suit. Learned Advocate for the Plaintiff further states that there is no caveat against release. Learned Advocate for the plaintiff states that the proper court fees if not paid already, will be paid by him. Undertaking accepted.
3. On the basis of above undertaking, the suit is allowed to be withdrawn, the order of arrest is vacated, and the Defendant vessel m.v.

MAHARSHI VAMADEVA stands released from arrest. Refund of Court Fees as per Rules. Poundage payable by the Plaintiff as per Rules.

4. Instrument of Release dispensed with. All concerned authorities, Port and Customs to act on a copy of the order duly authenticated as True Copy by the Associate of the Bombay High Court and communicated by hand-delivery/fax/email.

(M.S. Sanklecha, J.)