

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 32621 OF 2010 AND
C.A. 16673 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO. 32621 OF 2010

Maharashtra Krishna Valley
 Development Corporation

APPELLANT/APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

RESPONDENT

WITH

FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32730 OF 2010 AND
C.A.16671 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32730 OF 2010

Maharashtra Krishna Valley
 Development Corporation

APPELLANT/APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

RESPONDENT

WITH

FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32723 OF 2010 AND
C.A.16675 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32723 OF 2010

Maharashtra Krishna Valley
 Development Corporation

APPELLANT/APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

RESPONDENT

WITH

FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32727 OF 2010 AND
C.A.16677 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32727 OF 2010

Maharashtra Krishna Valley
 Development Corporation

APPELLANT/APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

RESPONDENT

WITH

FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32739 OF 2010 AND
C.A.17109 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32739 OF 2010

Maharashtra Krishna Valley
 Development Corporation

APPELLANT/APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

RESPONDENT

WITH

FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32626 OF 2010 AND
C.A.17120 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32626 OF 2010

Maharashtra Krishna Valley
 Development Corporation

APPELLANT/APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

RESPONDENT

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32734 OF 2010 AND
C.A.17107 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32734 OF 2010
Maharashtra Krishna Valley
Development Corporation APPELLANT/APPLICANT
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra RESPONDENT

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32632 OF 2010 AND
C.A.17111 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32632 OF 2010
Maharashtra Krishna Valley
Development Corporation APPELLANT/APPLICANT
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra RESPONDENT

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32699 OF 2010 AND
C.A.17113 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32699 OF 2010
Maharashtra Krishna Valley
Development Corporation APPELLANT/APPLICANT
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra RESPONDENT

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32704 OF 2010 AND
C.A.17115 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32704 OF 2010
Maharashtra Krishna Valley
Development Corporation APPELLANT/APPLICANT
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra RESPONDENT

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32720 OF 2010 AND
C.A.17123 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32720 OF 2010
Maharashtra Krishna Valley
Development Corporation APPELLANT/APPLICANT
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra RESPONDENT

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32614 OF 2010 AND
C.A.17117 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32614 OF 2010
Maharashtra Krishna Valley
Development Corporation APPELLANT/APPLICANT
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra RESPONDENT

AND**FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.32708 OF 2010 AND
C.A.17121 OF 2010 IN F.A.(ST.)NO.32708 OF 2010**

Maharashtra Krishna Valley

Development Corporation

APPELLANT/APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

RESPONDENT

.....

Mr. G.B. Rajale, Advocate for the Appellants in all F.A.s.

Mr. N.H. Borade, A.G.P. with Mr. D.V. Tele, A.G.P. for
Respondent no.1 in all F.A.sMr. J.R. Patil, Advocate for respondent no.2 in FA(St) no.32626
of 2010, 32632 of 2010, 32704 of 2010, 32614 of 2010Mr. A.M. Awale, Advocate for respondent no.2 in FA (St) no.
32730 of 2010

.....

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.**DATED : 29TH FEBRUARY, 2012****ORAL ORDER:**

1. The Appeals are taken up for hearing at the stage of admission, by consent.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants Mr. Gulab Rajale, fairly concedes that rest of the appeals in the same group, arising out of the same notification are already dismissed by this Court in the group headed by First Appeal no.963 of 2011 decided on 10.6.2011. Upon putting query, he placed on record the true copy of the judgment, delivered by this Court in the said group, which is marked as "X" for the purposes of identification. The reading of the judgment would show that very same sale instance

dated 22.2.1990 regarding gut no.219 of village Walwad between one Pandurang and Khanderao was relied in, as is relied in the present group of Appeals.

3. This Court, upon considering all the facts and upon hearing both the sides, has already come to the conclusion that the reference Court was justified in accepting the evidence placed on record by the claimant and accordingly, granted compensation at the rate of ₹ 30,000/- per acre. It was also noted that the present appellant-acquiring body did not lead any evidence to prove the certified copy of the sale instance by examining witness. For the parity of the reasons, the present Appeals are also dismissed without any order as to costs.

4. Since the First Appeals itself are dismissed, the Civil Applications, seeking stay to the impugned judgment and award have become infructuous and therefore dismissed as such.

Sd/-

[M. T. JOSHI, J.]

arp/