

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8510 OF 2012

Bhagwan Devram Vanjari .. Petitioner

Versus

Sahkar Mitra Shri Chandrakant Hari
Badhe Sir Urban Co-op. Credit
Society Ltd. and another .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 8522 OF 2012

Prakash Lahanu Patil and others .. Petitioners

Versus

Sahkar Mitra Shri Chandrakant Hari
Badhe Sir Urban Co-op. Credit
Society Ltd. and another .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 8531 OF 2012

Rafiquekhan Hasankhan Pathan
and others .. Petitioners

Versus

Sahkar Mitra Shri Chandrakant Hari
Badhe Sir Urban Co-op. Credit
Society Ltd. and another

.. Respondents

Shri G. V. Wani, Advocate for Petitioners in all matters.

Shri Vinod Patil, Advocate for the Respondent No. 1 in all matters.

Shri V. G. Shelke, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 2 in all matters.

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.

DATE : 31ST OCTOBER, 2012.

PER COURT :

. The grievance of petitioners is that the original documents are not filed on record and the Assistant Registrar has rejected the applications of petitioners and the Divisional Joint Registrar has allowed the revision filed by the respondent/bank U/Sec. 154 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, wherein directions were given to the Assistant Registrar to give fresh hearing. In fact Sec. 101 proceedings were rejected on the ground that the original documents are not produced.

2. Shri Patil, the learned counsel for the respondent/bank submits that in these matters original documents are available and same would be produced before the Assistant Registrar.

3. In the light of the above, present writ petitions are disposed of. The respondent/bank shall produce the original record in the proceedings U/Sec. 101 with the copies of the same to the petitioners. The petitioners on going through the said record produced may file appropriate applications if they choose before the Assistant Registrar regarding the documents.

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Oct. 12