

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**  
**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 2733 of 2012**

**For Approval and Signature:**

**HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.M.CHHAYA Sd/-**

=====

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed  
to see the judgment ? NO

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ? NO

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy  
of the judgment ? NO

Whether this case involves a substantial question  
4 of law as to the interpretation of the  
constitution of India, 1950 or any order made  
thereunder ? NO

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil  
judge ? NO

=====

**BABUBHAI LALJIBHAI KHARADI - Petitioner(s)**  
**Versus**  
**STATE OF GUJARAT & 2 - Respondent(s)**

=====

**Appearance :**

MR R.K.MANSURI for Petitioner(s) : 1,  
MR JANAK RAVAL, AGP. for Respondent(s) : 1,  
None for Respondent(s) : 2 - 3.

=====

**CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.M.CHHAYA**

**Date : 29/02/2012**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

1. Rule. Mr. Janak Raval, learned Assistant  
Government Pleader appears and waives service of Rule  
on behalf of the respondents. By consent of the

learned Advocates appearing for the respective parties the matter is taken up for final disposal today.

2. The controversy raised in the petition is limited to the fact that the representation filed by the petitioner is not decided. The learned Assistant Government Pleader was instructed to take instructions in the matter as he appears on advance copy. It is the case of the petitioner that the petitioner joined State Reserve Police Force on 28.11.1969 and was placed in Group IX, Vadodara. It transpires from the record that earlier also the petitioner approached this Court by way of Special Civil Application No. 13562 of 2010 which was disposed of by this Court (Coram : R.R. Tripathi, J.) vide order dated 21.10.2010. The petitioner on the basis of the statement made by his Counsel, filed a representation on 20.1.2011. As more than one year has passed and the representation is yet not decided by the respondent authorities the present petition is filed.

3. As aforesaid, the learned Assistant Government Pleader on instructions received from respondent No.3 states that the representation dated 20.1.2011 shall be decided by the respondent authority in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible but not later than one month. The other prayers as prayed for in the petition are not pressed by Mr. Mansuri at this stage.

4. In view of the statement made on instructions by learned Assistant Government Pleader, the respondents are directed to decide the representation filed by the petitioner as per order dated 21.10.2010 passed in Special Civil Application No.13562 of 2010 latest by 31.3.2012.

5. With this direction, the petition is partly allowed. Rule made absolute to the aforesaid extent with no order as to costs.

Sd/-  
(R.M. Chhaya, J.)

M.M.BHATT