

①

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 3821 OF 2012

PETITIONER :

Virendranath Pandey, S/o
Vidyadhar Pandey, aged about
43 years, Occupation Service,
presently posted and working
as Patwari of Patwari Halka
No.26, Rajpur, District
Balrampur - Ramanujganj
(CG).

3821/12
Presented by Shri. Virendra Pandey
dated 29/8/12

*Note : In the impugned order
passed by the State
Government, the name of the
petitioner has been mentioned
as Virendra Pandey*

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Revenue and
Disaster Management, DKS
Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur
(CG).
2. Under Secretary, Department
of Revenue and Disaster
Management, DKS Bhawan,
Mantralaya, Raipur (CG).
3. Collector, Balrampur -
Ramanujganj (CG).



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

The petitioner, above named, most humbly and
respectfully beg to submit as under: -

Details of the petition: -

5



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO.3821 OF 2012

PETITIONER

Virendranath Pandey

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present :- Shri Sanjay Patel & Shri Jitendra Shrivastava, Advocates for the petitioner.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 31st day of August, 2012)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

1. Notice to the respondents is dispensed with, as Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer appears on behalf of the State/respondents, and consents for hearing.
2. The Committee dealing with violation of the transfer policy has been constituted by the State Government on 16.08.2012. Thus, it is just and proper that before approaching this Court, the petitioner should approach the Committee to settle the disputed facts, as according to the petitioner, there is a violation of transfer policy. Thus, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to take recourse to the Committee.
3. Though, the aforesaid Committee provides for considering the transfer orders passed by the State Government, wherein there is alleged violation of transfer policy of the State, however, the Committee may also look into violation of any other circulars/notifications/guidelines/executive instructions issued by the State Government, with regard to transfer and posting of the employees. It is ordered accordingly.
4. If the petitioner has not been relieved and is still continuing at the present place of posting, he may be allowed to continue, if an application is filed within a period of one week from today, till disposal of the application/representation, preferably within a period of 15 days.
5. In view of foregoing, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the afore-stated liberty and observation.

Gowri

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge