

6
3
Single Bench

CF 100/✓
**BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF
CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)**

W.P.(S). No. 6702012.

PETITIONER :-


Smt. Trishna Shukla W/o late Shri Dr.
Sagar Prasad Shukla aged about 44 years
R/o Near the House of Shri J.L.Khare ,
Lafarge Gad Godown ,Shubham Vihar
Colony Bilaspur, P.S.Civil Lines
Bilaspur Tahsil and District Bilaspur
(C.G.) .

.H. No. 670/12
Presented by Shri. Rajendra Kumar
dated 8/2/12


VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

- 1.State of Chhattisgarh, Through its
Secretary ,Health & Family Welfare
Department Mantralaya
D.K.S.Bhavan , Raipur (C.G.) .
- 2.Director, health Services , Raipur
(C.G.) .
- 3.Ayukta Health & Family Welfare,
Raipur (C.G.) .
- 4.District Family Welfare & Health
Officer Bilaspur (C.G.) .


**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF THE
WRIT/WRITS /AND /OR /OTHER APPROPRIATE
DIRECTION /DIRECTIONS , AND /OR.OTHER
APPROPRIATE ORDER/ORDERS.**

May it be pleased your honour


A-0



42

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 670 OF 2012

PETITIONER

Smt. Trishna Shukla

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present :- Shri Suresh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Y.S.Thakur, Dy. Advocate General for the State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 29th day of February, 2012)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made an application to the Director, Health Services, Raipur, for grant of higher pay scale under the provisions of law, but no decision has been taken till date.
2. Learned Dy. Advocate General appearing for the State/respondents submits that if the application is pending consideration, the same shall be considered and decided, in accordance with law, on its own merit, as early as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks.
3. In view of foregoing, according to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, nothing survives in this petition for adjudication.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge**