

8

Single Bench

4

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 5267 /2012

PETITIONER

: R.R. Vishwakarma, S/o. Guru Prasad Vishwakarma, aged about 51 years, R/o. Parri, Surajpur, Police Station and Post Office Surajpur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh, PIN Code 497229

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- 1] State of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Police Station Civil Lines, Raipur, Post Office, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- 2] Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Ambikapur, Surguja Division, Police Station and Post Office, Ambikapur, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh
- 3] Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Surajpur, Police Station and Post Office, Surajpur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
- 4] Collector, Surajpur, Police Station and Post Office Surajpur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
- 5] Shashibhushan Jaiswal, S/o. Shri L. Jaiswal, Aged about 55 years, Lecturer (In-charge Block Education Officer) Surajpur, Police Station and Post Office Surajpur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh, PIN Code 497229
- 6] Divisional Commissioner, Surguja division, Ambikapur, Police Station & Post Office, Ambikapur, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh

R No. 5267/12

Presented by Shri. Vaibhaw

Dated. 27/12/12



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 5267 of 2012

PETITIONER : R.R. Vishwakarma.
RESPONDENTS : VERSUS
State of Chhattisgarh & Others.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: Shri Manoj Paranjpe, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri A.V. Shridhar, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 30th day of November, 2012)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to contest this petition on merits, however, he submits that the respondent authorities may be directed to consider and decide his representation dated 30.10.2012 (Annexure P/19) in the light of the circular dated 04.08.2011 (Annexure P/4) and 16.05.2012 (Annexure P/5) issued by the State Government, itself.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents submits that if the representation of the petitioner, as aforestated, is pending consideration, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, on its own merits, in light of the circulars, as aforestated, as expeditiously as possible.
3. In view of above, according to learned counsel for the petitioner, nothing survives for adjudication at this stage.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of with the aforestated observation and direction.

Amit

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge