

①

C-8.1002 4

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT  
BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. (C) No. 7850 of 2011

PETITIONER

: ✓ Rajendra Singh Chhabra, aged about  
60 years, S/o Late Shri Santosh Singh  
Chhabra, Bus Operator, Ring Road,  
Namnakala, Ambikapur Distt. Surguja  
(C.G.)

P.B. No. 2376/2011  
Pr. Secy. 16/12/2011  
Amr. Kishorewar

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

: ✓ Regional Transport Authority,  
Ambikapur Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION  
OF INDIA



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) NO.7850 OF 2011

PETITIONER

Rajendra Singh Chhabra

Versus

RESPONDENTS

Regional Transport Authority, Ambikapur

WRIT PETITION (C) NO.7675 OF 2011

PETITIONER

Gurusharan Singh Bhatia

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State Transport Authority, Raipur

WRIT PETITION (C) NO.7783 OF 2011

PETITIONER

Rajendra Singh Chhabra

Versus

RESPONDENTS

Regional Transport Authority, Ambikapur

And

WRIT PETITION (C) NO.7922 OF 2011

PETITIONER

Santosh Kumar Dewangan

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh

(Writ Petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present :- Shri Uttam Pandey, Shri S.K. Bajpai, Shri B.L. Dembra & Shri Santosh Gupta, Advocates for the respective petitioners.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General for the State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 31<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2012)

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Since W.P. (C) Nos.7850, 7675, 7783 & 7922 of 2011 involve the common facts & question of law, they are being considered and disposed of by this common order.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the respective petitioners submit that the petitioners have made applications for grant of stage carriage permit on 8-7-2011, 12-5-2011, 8-7-2011 & 28-8-2011, respectively. Till date, no action has been taken. Learned counsel



further submit that several applications before the Regional Transport Authorities and State Transport Authorities are pending consideration for months and years and no action has been taken. 17

4. At this juncture, learned counsel appearing for the State submits that in compliance of the order dated 15-12-2011 passed by this Court in W.P. (C) No.7675 of 2011, the Transport Commissioner, State of Chhattisgarh has filed affidavit on 28-1-2012. Shri Murthy further submits that it has been resolved that all the applications should be considered and decided within a period of four months and further as stated in para I, of the affidavit, it has been proposed to amend the provisions of sub-rule (3) Rule 74 of the Chhattisgarh Motor Vehicle Adhiniyam, 1994 to make a provision for specific time limit for disposal of applications filed for different purposes. Learned State counsel next submits that the pending application of the petitioners shall be considered and decided, in accordance with law and on its own merits, within a period of three weeks.
5. On consideration of submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, four months time is little longer time, thus, the State may consider to limit the reasonable time to 2 – 3 months for disposal of the applications.
6. In view of the above, according to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners nothing survives in these petitions for adjudication.
7. Accordingly, the writ petitions stand disposed of.

Gowri

Sd/-  
SATISH K. ANGNIHOTRI  
Judge