

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 765 OF 2012

PETITIONER :

Jawaharlal Verma, S/o
Shambhulal Verma, aged
about 54 years, presently
posted and working as Revenue
Inspector, R.I. Circle Dhamda,
Tahsil & District Durg (CG).

R. No. 765/12
Presented by Shri. The K. S. Verma
dated 13/2/12

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Revenue &
Disaster Management, DKS
Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur
(CG).
2. Under Secretary, Department
of Revenue & Disaster
Management, DKS Bhawan,
Mantralaya, Raipur (CG).
3. Commissioner,
Raipur Division, Raipur (CG).
4. Collector, Durg (CG).
5. Director, Department of
Revenue, Raipur (CG).



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

The petitioner, above named, most humbly and
respectfully beg to submit as under: -

Details of the petition: -

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER : -**

As mentioned in the cause title.



45

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR
WRIT PETITION (S) NO.765 OF 2012

PETITIONER

Jawaharlal Verma

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present :- Shri Shashank Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Y.S. Thakur, Dy. Advocate General with Shri Arun Sao,
Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 29th day of February, 2012)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

1. By this petition, the petitioner seeks to challenge the legality and validity of the order dated 31.01.2012 (Annexure P-1) whereby, the petitioner, working as Revenue Inspector, has been transferred from Durg to Mungell.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the Secretary of Chhattisgarh Revenue Inspector Association, District Branch Durg. He was duly nominated/elected on 30.12.2011 and, as such, the petitioner is protected from transfer for a period of three years from the date of election/nomination, as per the circular of the State Government dated 24.6.1996 read with memo dated 25.8.2004.
3. Learned State counsel submits that on verification, if it is found that the petitioner is duly elected/nominated Secretary, the order may be cancelled and in the meantime, the impugned transfer order dated 31.01.2012 (Annexure P-1), in respect of the petitioner, shall not be given effect to, till appropriate decision is taken.
4. It is accordingly ordered.
5. In view of foregoing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing survives for adjudication in the matter, at this stage.
6. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge