

5
①

S.B. (Service Matter)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION(S) NO. 1429/2012.

PETITIONER:

Mohan Lal Yadav, son of Narayan Singh
Yadav, caste Rawat, aged about 33
years, residence of village Tuhloo,
P.O.Bhotha, Block Baghbagar, Tahsil and
District Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.


S.B. No. 1429/12
Presented by Shri K. P. Sati
Dated 22.03.12

Versus

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through
Secretary, Department of Panchayat
and Social Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad
Panchayat, Baghbahara, Block
Baghbahara, Tahsil and District
Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



26

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.S/W.1429/12 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

| आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | <p><u>SB : Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.</u> <u>30-03-2012</u></p> <p>Shri C. J. K. Rao, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Shashank Thakur, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard.</p> <p>At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly and fairly submit that the issue involved herein has already been considered and decided by the Division Bench of this Court in <i>Chhattisgarh Rajya Panchayat Vaikalpik Shikshak Sangh & Others vs. The State of Chhattisgarh & Others</i>¹ and other connected matters, wherein on the submission of learned Additional Advocate General, the Court disposed of the writ petitions with a direction to take decision as expeditiously as possible. In view of the observations made by the Division Bench of this Court in <i>Chhattisgarh Rajya Panchayat Vaikalpik Shikshak Sangh</i>(supra), the petition may be disposed of.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit that till date, no final decision has been taken by the State Government, pursuant to order passed by the Division Bench.</p> <p>Considering that the issue is still pending consideration before the competent authority, if the petitioner prefers representation, his case shall also be considered, in accordance with law.</p> <p>Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed off.</p> | |
| Tumane | | <p>Sd/- Manindra Mohan Shrivastava Judge</p> |

¹ W.P.No.1731 of 2005 (decided on 11-08-20