

R No. 119/12  
Presented by Shri. M. Jethani  
Dated. 20/7/12

(1)


(6)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

REVIEW PETITION No. 119 /2012

Division Bench

PETITIONER



Smt Rita M. Jethani aged about 38 years, W/ o Shri Manohar Jethani, Permanent Resident Beside Kohinoor hotel, Amardeep Talkies Road, Bans Tal, Raipur (C.G.) Present address: Ward No.36, Shikshak Colony, New Kursipar Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.)

VERSUS

Respondents



1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Town Administration And Development Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur C.G.
2. The Municipal Corporation Through Commissioner, Bhilai, Tahsil & Distt: Durg, Chhattisgarh

REVIEW PETITION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF RULE 90 OF THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH RULES, 2007.

48/3/101

44

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

-----  
**D.B.: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abhay Manohar Sapre &  
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**  
-----

**Review Petition No.119 of 2012**

**APPLICANT**

Smt. Rita M. Jethani

***Versus***

**RESPONDENTS**

State of Chhattisgarh and another

**REVIEW PETITION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF RULE  
90 OF THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH RULES,  
2007**

**ORDER (In chamber)  
( 28.09.2012)**

**Abhay Manohar Sapre, J.**

This is a review petition filed by the applicant against the common order dated 02.05.2012 passed by this Court in Writ Appeal No.280/2012 and other connected appeals under Order 47 Rule 1 of C.P. Code.

(2) By the impugned order, the writ appeal was dismissed by this Court.

(3) On perusal of the record of the case in chamber as per Rule 90 (2) of Chhattisgarh High Court Rules, we are of the considered opinion that the grounds which are sought to be made subject matter of this review petition do not satisfy the requirement of Order 47 Rule 1 of C.P. Code and, therefore, no review can be filed and if filed, the same cannot be

entertained. In other words, we have not been able to notice any error much less error apparent on the face of the record of the case within the meaning of Order 47 Rule 1 of C.P. Code and hence, the review is not tenable. It is liable to be dismissed in limine.

(4) In our view, the grounds which are sought to be raised in the review petition may be good grounds for appeal, if filed, but not for review. What may be good in appeal may not be good for review though vice versa may hold good.

(5) We, therefore, do not wish to deal with each and every ground in detail on facts.

(6) It is with these observations; we find no merit in this review petition. It fails and is accordingly dismissed in limine.

**Sd/-**  
**Abhay Manohar Sapre**  
**Judge**

**Sd/-**  
**Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**  
**Judge**

shyna