

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4300 OF 2005

PETITIONER

PA. No. 4435/05  
31/8/05  
Sanjay Baghel

Ganesh Baghel, son of  
Shri Birjooram Baghel,  
aged about 49 years,  
resident of Ward No.2,  
Kududand, Bilaspur  
(Chhattisgarh)

VS

RESPONDENTS

RTI COPY  
31.8.05  
CLEANED A. G. BILASPUR

1. State of Chhattisgarh,  
through the Secretary,  
Department of Forest,  
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.
2. C.G. Rajya Vikas Nigam,  
Raipur through the  
Managing Director,  
Sector-5, D252 - 253,  
Devendra Nagar,  
Raipur.
3. The Divisional Manager,  
Kota-Pandariya Project  
Division, Mangla  
Chowk, Bilaspur.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN  
APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS /  
CERTIORARI ETC OR DIRECTION(S) / ORDER(S) IN  
THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

19/6/05



CHC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

सामान्य क्रमांक

wp. no. 4300/05  
सन् 20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><b>SB : Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.</b> <b>29-11-2012</b></p> <p>Ms. Sharmila Singhai and Shri Sanjay Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri A.V.Shridhar, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No.1.</p> <p>Shri V.K.Agrawal, counsel for respondents 2 and 3.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Through this writ petition, the petitioner has claimed seniority, annual increments, back wages and other benefits on the strength of the order of reinstatement passed by the M.P.Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur in T.A.3472/88 decided on 17/12/98.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the parties however, bring to the notice of this Court, an order dated 21/03/06 passed in writ petition no.325/99 by the Division Bench of this Court by which the Court has set aside the order of the Tribunal on the ground that Tribunal did not have competence and jurisdiction to decide the matter.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that subsequently, upon order passed by this Court as referred to above, the petitioner has filed fresh petition before this Court, challenging the order of termination.</p> <p>Taking into consideration the aforesaid submission, particularly taking into consideration that this petition was filed while the writ petition No.325/99 was pending before this Court, claiming benefit on the basis of the order of the Tribunal which has since been set aside, no useful purpose would be served in keeping this petition pending.</p>	



XPHC--78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

25

मामला क्रमांक Wp no. 4300/05 सन् 20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
Deepti	Accordingly, this petition is disposed off reserving liberty to the petitioner to raise all the grounds as are available to him in this petition, in pending cases.	Sd/- Manindra Mohan Shrivastava Judge