

WP(C) 3239/2010  
BEFORE  
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.K. SHARMA

Heard Mr. P.K. Talukdar, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. D. Dey, learned counsel representing the P.F. Commissioner. I have also heard Ms. M. Bharali, learned counsel representing the respondent Bank.

The grievance of the petitioner is non payment of nominee pension to her for which she is entitled on expiry of her husband on 28.11.2005. Since the excess disbursed amount from the date of the husband of the petitioner is adjusted by remanding the matter back to the PF Commissioner, the petitioner is entitled to get her nominee pension.

In view of the above, the respondents, more particularly the respondent Nos. 2 and 3, are directed to make necessary adjustments following due procedure so that the petitioner can receive nominee pension. Whatever exercise is required to be carried out by the said two authorities, same shall be done as expeditiously as possible, but at any rate not later than 31.10.2012.