

AB 4384/2012

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.R. SARMA

Heard Mr. A. Paramanik, learned Counsel, appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. B. Gogoi, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, Assam.

Perused the case diary.

By this application, filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner, namely, Md . Ripul Ali @ Dipul Ali, has prayed for pre-arrest bail, in connection with Dhup dhara P.S. Case No.77/12, under Sections 366(A)/376 IPC.

The allegations, made against the petitioner, is that the petitioner committed rape on the informant's daughter.

Having heard the learned Counsel, appearing for both the parties and considering the evidence, collected by the Investigating Agency, more particularly, the statement of the victim girl, I don't find it to be a fit case to extend the benefit of pre-arrest bail. Hence, the prayer for pre-arrest bail is rejected.

Return the case diary.

Bail application is disposed of.