

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.R. SARMA

Heard Mr. N.D. Bhuyan, learned Counsel, appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. B. Gogoi, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, Assam.

Perused the case diary.

By this application, filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner, namely, Md. Farjul Haque, has prayed for pre-arrest bail, in connection with Mukalmua P.S. Case No.157/12, under Sections 457/366/379/34 IPC.

The allegations, made against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with a nother person had forcefully taken away the informant's wife along with some val uable item including gold ornaments, clothes etc.

Having heard the learned Counsel, appearing for both the parties and considering the evidence, collected by the I.O., more particularly, the statement of the vi ctim women, recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., I don't find it to be a fit cas e to extend the benefit of pre-arrest bail. Hence, the prayer for pre-arrest bai l stands rejected.

Return the case diary.

Bail application is disposed of.