

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.R. SARMA

Heard Mr. A. Choudhury, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners. Also heard Mr. B. B. Gogoi, learned addl. P.P., Assam, appearing for the State respondent. Perused the C.D.

By this application filed u/s. 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioners, namely, Md. Hasinur Ali Sk and Md. Bhuttu Sk have prayed for pre-arrest bail in connection with Gosaigaon P.S. Case No. 344/2012 u/ss. 143/447/436/109 IPC.

The allegation made against the petitioner is that on 22.07.2012 at about 2-30 p.m. some miscreants along with others had set ablaze the house of the informant and destroyed his properties.

Having heard the learned counsel appearing for both the parties and considering the evidence collected by the I.O. as well as the nature of allegations brought against the petitioners, I do not find it fit to extend the benefit of pre-arrest bail.

Hence, the prayer for pre-arrest bail is rejected.

Return the C.D.

The bail application is disposed of.