## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

## **CIVIL JURISDICTION**

	WP(C) No42	of 20.1.2
	Girdhari Singh	Appellant (s) Petitioner (s)
	<b>新</b> 美	Versus
	Union of India	& Ors. Bespendent (s) Opposite Party (s)
	Appellant .	
For _		Mr. Zangpo Sherpa, Mr. A. K. Ghatani and Mr. Pem Tshering Lepcha
	Petitioner (Advocate (s))	
	Respondent -	
For_	O	
	Opposite:Rady (Advocate (s))	•

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
		HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE PERMOD KOHLI
01.	15.10.12	Present: Mr. Zangpo Sherpa, Mr. A. K. Ghatani and Mr. Pem Tshering Lepcha, Advocates for the Petitioner.  This petition has been filed by the petitioner
		challenging his discharge from ITBP vide order dated 20.11.2006 (Annexure P-13). The order was passed

Gerial Da	ite	Order (s) with Signature (s)
	2	3
	2	when he was posted in the State of Arunachal Pradesh. Thereafter, the petitioner filed a petition before the Uttarakhand High Court. This petition came to be dismissed vide order dated 04.04.2012 for want of territorial jurisdiction. Now, this petition has been filed before this Court only on the plea that the 13 <sup>th</sup> Battalion wherefrom the petitioner was discharged has now been posted in the State of Sikkim. As a matter of fact, the petitioner's assumption that this Court has territorial jurisdiction is totally misconceived. No part of the cause of action has accrued in the State of Sikkim. At the time of discharge, the 13 <sup>th</sup> Battalion was having its location in the State of Arunachal Pradesh where the petitioner was posted. The impugned order was passed and served upon him in that State. Any subsequent event like shifting of the Battalion from Arunachal Pradesh to Sikkim does not create any new cause of action so as to entitle the petitioner to file this petition before this Court that too after a lapse of almost six years.  At this stage, Mr. Sherpa seeks to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the concerned High Court having territorial jurisdiction.

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
		Prayer is allowed. The petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty prayed for.
		Chief Justice
	New 2 May 2	15.10.2012
Index : Internet : rsr/pm	Yes / No Yes / No	
- 1	-	
8		
		= 38806