

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

CIVIL JURISDICTION

50

	WP(C)	No	50	of 2012	
		Purba Ke	sang Lucksom		Appellant (s) Petitioner (s)
			"- Versu	s	
		Reliance	Infrate Ltd.	& Ano.	Respondent (s)
	/Appelyand				
For	Detitioned	-	-Mr.Umesh Ra	anpal and Mr.Su	dhir Prasad
	Petitioner (Advocate	(s))			
	Responder	nt			
For		1141			
	Opposité/6 (Advocate				*
-					

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
		BEFORE
		HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE PERMOD KOHLI HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. P.WANGDI, JUDGE
17.00	12.12 P nli, CJ)	resent: M/s. B. Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Umesh Ranpal, Advocate for the petitioners.
	R	The petitioner has challenged the Order dated
		28.09.2012 passed by learned District Judge, Special Division-II at Gangtok, East Sikkim granting interim



Case No.....

lah o.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)					
	2	3					
		injunction against the petitioner in exercise of jurisdiction					
		under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act,					
		1996. It is the petitioner's own case that an arbitrator has					
		been appointed by the respondent and the petitioner has					
		been served with the notice by the arbitrator for					
		appearance. One of the contentions raised is that the					
		arbitrator has no jurisdiction. Admittedly, the petitioner					
		has not challenged the appointment of the arbitrator in					
		these proceedings or otherwise till date. In this petition					
	0.2	against the grant of interim relief all these question					
		cannot be gone into.					
		At this stage, Mr. B. Sharma, learned Sr. Advocate					
3		appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks to withdraw					
		this petition with liberty to seek appropriate remedy					
		available under law.					
		This petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn					
		with liberty terprayed for.					
		Judge Chief Justice					
	x : Yes / N						
m/j	ik						