Serial No.	Date	Orders (s) with Signature (s)	
1	2	3	

BEFORE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. P. WANGDI, JUDGE

05. 03.09.2012

65

Present : Ms. (Dr.) Doma T. Bhutia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Govt.Advocate with Mr. S. K. Chettri, Asstt.Govt.Advocate and Mr. Thinlay Dorjee, Advocate for the State Respondents no.1 to 5.

Mr. Jagat B. Rai, Advocate for Respondent No.4.

Mr. A. Moulik, Senior Advocate with Ms. Kesang Diki Bhutia, Mr. Ranjit Prasad, Mr Pujan Kharka and Ms. Karma Denka Bhutia, Advocates for the Respondent No.6.

Heard.

Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, the learned Government Advocate, submits that out of two plots of land in question, Plot No.2158/P measuring 1.07 acres, i.e., 0.4331 hectare, has since been recorded in the name of Shri Deo Narayan Pradhan, the father of the Petitioner by making necessary rectification in the land records and necessary Parcha Khatian has been issued. As far as the other plot of land, i.e., Plot No.1100 measuring about 1.16 acres at Sawaney under Central Pandam Block falling under Pakyong Sub-Division is concerned, rectification of the records has not been done. It has been informed that there being a dispute over its title between the Petitioner and his paternal uncle, Shri Durga Dass

D

Serial No.	Date	Orders (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	. 3
		Pradhan, the Petitioner has been asked to approach
		the appropriate Court of Law for the purpose. In my
		view, the stand taken by the District Collector, East
		Sikkim, appears to have taken an erroneous view.
		Since in the existing Parcha Khatian in respect of the
		land as found in Annexure-1 (colly) to the Writ
		Petition at page 15, the land recorded in the name of
		the grandfather of the Petitioner, namely, Harka
		Bahadur Pradhan, son of Pauda Singh Newar, there
		would be no impediment in getting the land record
		restored in the name of the grandfather as per the
		existing Parcha Khatian. The Civil Suit, as per
		information given to us by the learned Counsels,
		pertains to dispute over the title of the property
		between the Petitioner and his uncle, Shri Durga
		Dass Pradhan as also contained in the letter dated
		13.8.2012 addressed to the Additional Advocate
		General by the District Collector, and, therefore, the
	9	restoration of the Revenue Record in the name of the
		grandfather of the Petitioner and of the uncle, Shri
		Durga Dass Pradhan, would in no way affect the
		rights of either of the parties in the Civil Suit. The
		State Respondents may, therefore, take necessary
		steps for getting the land records restored in the

0		Case No
Serial No.	Date	Orders (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	. 3
		name of Harka Bahadur Pradhan, leaving the parties
		to settle their title in the pending Civil Suit.
		Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, the learned
		Government Advocate, in his usual fairness submits
		that there would be no difficulty to comply with the
		direction and that he shall convey about it personally
		to the District Collector, East Sikkim for its
		expeditious compliance.
		In view of this nothing further needs to be
		gone into in the Writ Petition. However, Mr. A.
		Moulik, learned Senior Advocate representing the
		Respondent No.6, submits that the liability of the
		Company would be confined only to the construction
		and the activities within 26.2313 hectare of forest
		land allotted to it by the State Government, for
		which necessary compensation has already been paid
		to the State Government and would not be liable for
		such payment. We are not concerned with the
		payment of compensation in respect of the
		acquisition of land in the present proceedings, and,
	9	therefore, it would not be appropriate for me to enter
	1	into this question. Suffice it to observe that no
	1	impediment under the law exists for the Petitioner to

take up the issue with regard to the question before

Senal	Date	Orders (s) with Signature (s)
No.	2	3
		the appropriate authority permissible under the lav
		and it is open for the Petitioner to take up o
		institute necessary proceedings for payment of sucl
		compensation and the damages caused to the land
		including the standing crops. It would be to
		premature for this Court to enter into those
		questions.
		In view of the above directions it would be
		futile to keep this matter lingering any further
		Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed in
		terms of the above.
	Pin I	
		20
		The state of the s
		Judge 03.09.2012
x : Yes	/ No (es / No-	
at		