Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
	ŀ	BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE PERMOD KOHLI ION'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. P.WANGDI, JUDGE
	7.06.12 P Kohli, CJ)	resent: Mr. Zangpo Sherpa and Mr. Arjun Kr. Ghatani, Advocates for the Petitioner.
		Mr. B.K. Gupta and Mr. Jigme P. Bhutia, Advocates for respondents No. 1, 2, 3 and 8.
		Mr. J.B. Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General with Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Govt. Advocate and Mr. S.K. Chettri, Asstt. Govt. Advocate for Respondents No. 4 & 5.
		Mr. Sudesh Joshi, Advocate for respondents No. 6 and 7.
	~	•••
		On the last date of hearing the learned counsel
		appearing for the respondents had raised an objection to
		the maintainability of this petition before this Court on
		account of specific statutory remedy available to the
		petitioner under Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994. In
		view of the alternative efficacious statutory remedy by way
		of appeal available to the petitioner before the
		Commissioner of Central Excise (Appeals), Mr. Zangpo
		Sherpa, learned Counsel for the petitioner seeks to
		withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the
		Appellate Authority. His further submission is that on
		account of pendency of the present petition before this
		Court, delay in filing the appeal be condoned. It is not
		appropriate for this Court to make any such order.

Case No.....

SGPG- 3/ High Court/ 5000 Nos./ 2.4.2009