

27 and 28 #

% 28.02.2011

Present: Mr. Kamal Sawhney, Advocate for the appellant.

(Common Order)

+ C.M. No. 2458/2011 in ITA No. 267/2011
C.M. No. 2549/2011 in ITA No. 268/2011

*


Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Accordingly, the applications stand disposed of.

ITA No. 267/2011
ITA No. 268/2011

On the ground of difference in accounts of various sundry creditors, the Assessing Officer made addition of ₹28,01,456/-. In appeal, the CIT(A) deleted this addition and the order of CIT(A) is upheld by the ITAT. The order of the ITAT would reveal that the outstanding balance as shown by the assessee is considered in detail and finding of fact recorded by the assessee was below satisfactory explanation.

These are pure findings of facts. No question of law arises for consideration. Dismissed.


A.K. SIKRI, J.


M.L. MEHTA, J.

FEBRUARY 28, 2011

AK

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.