

**30-33.**

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CONT.CAS(C) 1267/2006

%

***Judgment Delivered on: 31.03.2011***

SHAM LAL

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. D.G. Dastidar, Adv. for Ms. Nandni Sahni, Adv.

versus

A.K.NIGAM & ANR

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Ajay Arora and Mr. Kapil Dutta, Adv.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 478/2007

AMARJEET SINGH GILL

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. M.M. Singh, Adv.

Versus

M.C.D. & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Ajay Arora and Mr. Kapil Dutta, Adv.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 482/2007

VEER KUMAR PACHERIWALA

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Adv. along with petitioner

versus

M.C.D. & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Ajay Arora and Mr. Kapil Dutta, Adv.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 484/2007

SANJAY MAHESHWARI

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Adv.

Versus

M.C.D. & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Ajay Arora and Mr. Kapil Dutta, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

1. Whether the Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA  
Certify that the digital file and  
physical file have been compared and  
the digital data is as per the physical  
file and no page is missing.


CONT.CAS(C) NOS. 1267/2006, 478/2007, 482/2007 & 484/2007

Page 1 of 2

**G.S.SISTANI, J. (ORAL)**

1. Mr. Ajay Arora, learned counsel for the MCD, submits that pursuant to the Resolution No.397 dated 9.11.2009 all the 149 applicants, including the petitioners herein, have been included in the fresh list and subject to outcome of W.P.(C)No.5072/2010 and W.P.(C)No.2853/2010, in which the petitioners herein are also party, the allotment shall be made. Counsel further submits that the above said writ petitions are listed on 12.5.2011 before a Division Bench of this Court.
2. Accordingly, in view of the stand taken by counsel for the MCD and as agreed it is not necessary to keep the present contempt petitions pending as the grievance of the petitioners stand satisfied, however, after the disposal of W.P.(C)No.5072/2010 and W.P.(C)No.2853/2010 parties will complete all formalities and MCD will hand over possession of the stalls to the petitioners subject to statutory and coddle formalities.
3. Accordingly, contempt petitions stand dismissed as not pressed. Liberty, as prayed, is granted to the petitioners to make an application for revival of the present contempt petitions in case the allotment is not made to the petitioners. In case the contempt petitions are revived response to the status report will be taken on record.

**March 31, 2011**  
'msr'

  
**G.S. SISTANI, J.**