

3

\$~1 to 7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLNs.

826/2011,827/2011,828/2011/829/2011,830/2011,831/2011 &
832/2011 (in FIR No. 78/2011 u/s 34/406/498A-IPC, PS Tilak Ngr)

1. BAIL APPL No.826/2011

AJIT SINGH Petitioner

Through : Mr. Niraj Chaudhary, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF N.C.T. OF DELHI Respondent

Through : Mr. M.P. Singh, APP for the State
with ASI Rishali Yadav, PS Tilak Nagar.

Mr. Pratap Singh, Adv. for complainant.

2. BAIL APPL No.827/2011

DALBIR SINGH Petitioner

Through : Mr. Niraj Chaudhary, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF N.C.T. OF DELHI Respondent

Through : Mr. M.P. Singh, APP for the State
with ASI Rishali Yadav, PS Tilak Nagar.

Mr. Pratap Singh, Adv. for complainant.

3. BAIL APPL No.828/2011

SONIA Petitioner

Through : Mr. Niraj Chaudhary, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF N.C.T. OF DELHI Respondent

Through : Mr. M.P. Singh, APP for the State
with ASI Rishali Yadav, PS Tilak Nagar.

Mr. Pratap Singh, Adv. for complainant.

4. BAIL APPL No.829/2011

JASWINDER KAUR Petitioner

Through : Mr. Niraj Chaudhary, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF N.C.T. OF DELHI Respondent

Through : Mr. M.P. Singh, APP for the State
with ASI Rishali Yadav, PS Tilak Nagar.

Mr. Pratap Singh, Adv. for complainant.

BAIL APPLNs 826-832/2011

PAGE 1 OF 4

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

4

5. BAIL APPL No.830/2011

BALJIT KAUR

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Niraj Chaudhary, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF N.C.T. OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through : Mr. M.P. Singh, APP for the State
with ASI Rishali Yadav, PS Tilak Nagar.

Mr. Pratap Singh, Adv. for complainant.

6. BAIL APPL No.831/2011

DILBAGH SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Niraj Chaudhary, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF N.C.T. OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through : Mr. M.P. Singh, APP for the State
with ASI Rishali Yadav, PS Tilak Nagar.

Mr. Pratap Singh, Adv. for complainant.

7. BAIL APPL No.832/2011

SURJEET SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Niraj Chaudhary, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF N.C.T. OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through : Mr. M.P. Singh, APP for the State
with ASI Rishali Yadav, PS Tilak Nagar.

Mr. Pratap Singh, Adv. for complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURESH KAIT

ORDER

27.06.2011

%

CRL. M.A. Nos. 7146-7152/2011 (Exemption)

The Exemption Applications are allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Applications stand disposed of.

5

BAIL APPLN 828/2011
BAIL APPLN 826/2011
BAIL APPLN 827/2011
BAIL APPLN 829/2011
BAIL APPLN 830/2011
BAIL APPLN 831/2011
BAIL APPLN 832/2011& CrI. M.A. No. 7199/2011(early hearing)

1. These Bail Applications are being disposed of by this common order.

2. As per the order dated 08.06.2011, the applicants have brought ₹2,00,000 in cash in the Court today. As per the directions contained in the order dated 08.06.2011, the said amount was ordered to be deposited in the name of Investigating Officer and interest to be given to the complainant/informant during the pendency of the trial.

3. The complainant who is present in person today in the Court states that the said amount be given to her, as she needs the money to get her daughter who is aged about 3 ½ years admitted in some school and for other expenses.

4. In the interest of justice and in view of the welfare of the girl child, I modify the order dated 08.06.2011 that instead of depositing of the money in the name of IO, I direct that the amount of ₹2,00,000 brought in cash by the applicants today be given to the complainant who is personally present today in Court and she is unidentified by the concerned I.O.

5. The aforesaid amount is handed over to the complainant who is present in the court today. She has checked the amount and found the same to be of the correct amount. Since the applicants have complied with the order dated 08.06.2011,

therefore, all the applicants are admitted on anticipatory bail on their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹.25,000/- with one surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

6. The Bail applications are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
7. Dasti, under the signature of Court.


SURESH KAIT
(VACATION JUDGE)

JUNE 27, 2011