

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) No.17859-61/2005**

% **Date of Decision: 31.03.2011**

Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Ors.

..... Petitioners

Through Mr. Sachin Chopra and Mr. Kamal
Bansal, Advocates

Head Constable Vinod Kumar, Paravi
Officer, N.E., Delhi Police

Versus

Sh. Subhash Vats

..... Respondent

Through Nemo

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE VEENA BIRBAL

- | | | |
|----|--|----|
| 1. | Whether reporters of Local papers
may be allowed to see the judgment? | NO |
| 2. | To be referred to the reporter or not? | NO |
| 3. | Whether the judgment should be
reported in the Digest? | NO |

ANIL KUMAR, J.

*

After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, seeks to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the Tribunal for seeking review of the impugned order on the ground that some additional facts have come to the notice of the petitioners.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for in
accordance with law.

ANIL KUMAR, J.

VEENA BIRBAL, J.

March 31, 2011.

rs