* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

RESERVED ON: 18.08.2011 PRONOUNCED ON: 31.10.2011

-	<u>CRL.A. 317/2006</u> DILSHAD @ KALE	Appellant
	versus	11
	STATE THRU C.B.I	Respondent
+	CRL.A. 290/2006	
	ANIL	Appellant
	versus	D 1 4
	STATE	Respondent
-	CRL.A. 972/2006	
	DEVENDER	Appellant
	versus	D 1
	STATE	Respondent
-	CRL.A. 598/2008	
	RAVINDER S/O SH. KUMUDI	Appellant
	versus	D age on don't
	THE STATE	Respondent
-	CRL.A. 1020/2008	
	RAVI KUMAR @ SONU @ CHANDU	Appellant
	versus	D age on don't
	STATE	Respondent

Presence: Ms. Reena Singh, Ms. Priyanka Singh and Mr. Ajay Singh, Advocates for appellant in Crl. A.972/2006. Ms. Purnima Sethi, Advocate for appellant in Crl.A.598/2008 & 1020/2008.

Mr. Narender Mann, Special P.P. for CBI along with Mr. Manoj Pant, Advocate for respondent - CBI.

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT MR. JUSTICE G.P. MITTAL

1. Whether the Reporters of local papers YES may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to Reporter or not? YES

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

MR. JUSTICE S.RAVINDRA BHAT

The present criminal appeals are allowed in terms of the separate judgment passed today in Criminal Appeal No.497/2006 titled Satinder @ Bawa v. State through C.B.I. For detailed order please see the above mentioned judgment.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT (JUDGE)

G. P. MITTAL (JUDGE)

OCTOBER 31, 2011