\$~14

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 748/2011 & Crl.M.A. 2862/2011 (stay)

KIRAN MAHAJAN

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr. V. Srivastava, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through

Mr. Mukesh Gupta, APP for State with SI Rajeshwar, PS Conn. Place.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

%

ORDER 30.09.2011

Learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that he is aggrieved by the part of the order dated 28th September, 2010 whereby the statements recorded under Section 161 Cr.P.C. of Rajpal, Ashutosh Kumar, D.K. Mishra and Security Guards have not been supplied to him. Learned counsel further states that merely on the statement of the Investigating Officer the Trial Court could not have come to the conclusion that no statements were recorded and it has passed the order without looking into the case diaries.

The order dated 28th September, 2010 is amply clear in so far as it records the statement of the Investigating Officer that these persons were not examined under Section 161 Cr.P.C. and their statements were not recorded.

CRL.M.C. 748/2011

page 1 of 2

. J

Be that as it may, it is for the Trial Court to conduct the trial in the

manner it thinks fit. Besides assuring a fair trial to the accused it has also to

ensure that no dilatory tactics are adopted by any of the parties. It is not

necessary that the Trial Court should cross check and verify the statement of

the Investigating Officer every time by looking into the case diaries. The

Petitioner has placed no material on record to show that prima facie the

statement of the Investigating Officer is incorrect. Since it is stated on

behalf of the State, the statements were not recorded under Section 161

Cr.P.C., they were not required to be supplied. In the absence of the

statements of the witnesses having not been recorded no such statements can

be provided to the Petitioner. I find no abuse of the process of the Court,

warranting interference by this Court.

Petition and application are dismissed.

MUKTA GUPTA.J

ς.

SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

ʻga'

CRL.M.C. 748/2011

page 2 of 2