

A-26

% 29.7.2011

Present : Mr.Ranjan Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Sudhir Kathpalia for Ms.Suparna Srivastva,
Advocate for respondent no.1 and 2 .

+CONT. CAS (C) No.135/2011

*

Respondent no.2 is present in person in terms of the direction in the last order of this Court. It is not in dispute that the direction contained in the order dated 12.8.2010 have now been complied with and the payment in terms of those directions had been given to the petitioner on 31.5.2011. Record show that the SLP filed against the order dated 12.8.2010 had been dismissed and thereafter on 08.4.2011 this Court had recorded that the order dated 12.8.2010 shall now be complied with within four weeks. That period expires on 08.5.2011. Counsel for the respondents states that because of the administrative exigency and because of the procedural delays this payment had been effected 22 days later; there was no intent to disobey or disregard the order of this Court. In view of this explanation tendered nothing survives in this contempt petition. This petition is disposed of accordingly

INDERMEET KAUR, J.

JULY 29, 2011
nandan

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.