

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

RSA No. 96 of 2011
Date of decision: 31.5.2011

Satish Kumar Saini

petitioner

vs

Om Parkash & Ors

respondent

Present:- Mr. GS Jagpal, Advocate

M.M.S.BEDI

The suit of the plaintiff for permanent injunction to restrain the defendant- respondent from forcibly dispossessing him from the property mentioned in heading of the plaint has been dismissed by the courts below disbelieving the plea of the plaintiff that he has been in occupation of the property in dispute as a tenant on payment of monthly rent @ Rs.200/-. The courts below have recorded a finding of fact that the plaintiff is not in possession of the property, mentioned in the heading of the plaint but he is in possession of another property E-69, which is a different from the suit property. No substantial question of law is involved in this appeal.

Dismissed.

May 31 , 2011
TSM

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE