

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8004 of 2011  
Date of Decision: October 31, 2011**

Neelam Rani & Others

..Petitioners

**Versus**

State of Haryana & Others

....Respondents

***CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER.***

Present:-Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Mr. Sunil Nehra, Sr. DAG Haryana

**MAHESH GROVER, J (Oral).**

The petitioner is a guest teacher and has approached this Court against a show cause notice proposing termination of his services.

Numerous other persons who earlier approached this Court were relegated to the remedies before the respondent authorities by virtue of the order passed in Civil Writ Petition No.4587 of 2011 and the relevant extract of the order is as follows:-

*"In the light of above observations, all the petitioners are directed to appear before respective authorities, who have issued show cause notices with their response on 23.8.2011. The authority*

*concerned shall transmit the cases to the Director on or before 30.8.2011, for appearance before the Director on 5.9.2011. If the Director faces any difficulty because of any commitment, he may authorize Joint Director/Deputy Director to hear the cases.*

*All these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to appear before the respective authorities which have issued show cause notice in each case. The said authorities, after obtaining replies of the respective petitioners to the show cause notice, shall forward the case to the Director, Education School, by fixing a date of hearing before the Director in consultation with the Director. The Director, School, thereafter, would afford due opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and pass an order in accordance with law. The petitioners shall be at liberty to raise all the pleas that they may wish to urge against the proposed action. Needless to mention that the Director would keep in mind the observations made by 1st Division Bench in **Tilak Raj's case (supra)**. The petitioners would be at liberty to impugn any order passed by the Director in accordance with law. If any of the petitioner makes a request for being represented by a counsel, the same shall be considered by the Director and appropriate order made thereon. The interim order passed in favour of the petitioners shall continue till*

*the date of decision by the Director.*

*The writ petitions are, accordingly, disposed of. "*

At the time of issuance of notice of motion the petitioner placed reliance on this very writ petition.

Accordingly the instant petition is also disposed of in the same terms with the only variation that the petitioners shall appear before the Director concerned on 16-11-2011. Till the time the decision is taken by the competent authority, the status quo already granted by this Court shall continue.

October 31, 2011  
aarti

**(MAHESH GROVER)**  
**JUDGE**