IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13585 of 2011

Date of decision: 29.07.2011

Jagsir Singh and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:

Mr. S.K. Dhawan, Advocate

for the petitioners

\*\*\*\*

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioners are seeking directions to send the petitioners for in

service training in artificial insemination in preference to the fresh candidates as

the petitioners are already working as Class IV employees in the department and

have sufficient experience in handling with the animals and further issuance of

writ of prohibition to restrain the respondents from sending the fresh

candidates for training during pendency of the writ petition.

The petitioners are working as Class IV employees in different

Veterinary Hospitals/Civil Veterinary Dispensaries in different districts in the

State of Punjab. They are possessing the qualification of Matric and some of the

petitioners are also possessing the qualification of 10+2. They are working as a

Class IV employees from the last so many years so they have long experience in

the department for handling of the animals. Learned counsel for the petitioners

has submitted that the Animal Husbandry Department imparts training for giving

artificial insemination to the animals. He has further submitted that earlier the

CWP No. 13585 of 2011 -2-

Class IV employees are being sent for in service training for artificial insemination. He has submitted that the petitioners are also interested for undergoing the in service training in artificial insemination but the respondents have issued an advertisement which appeared in Daily Ajit newspaper dated 14.06.2011 inviting applications for the fresh candidates for sending them for four months training in artificial insemination (Annexure P-2). Thereafter, the petitioners gave representation (Annexure P-3) to the department requesting that instead of imparting training to fresh candidates, the petitioners be given preference and be sent for in service training. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further argued that department is not taking any action on the representation of the petitioners and they are going ahead in selecting and sending the fresh candidates for training in artificial insemination.

In view of the above facts, this writ petition is disposed of by giving a direction to the respondents to pass speaking orders on the representation (Annexure P-3) made by the petitioners, within a period of six weeks.

July 29, 2011 G.Arora (RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE