

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Case No. : Crl. Misc. No. M-32625 of 2011

Date of Decision : October 31, 2011

Paramjit Singh @ Pumma	Petitioner
Vs.		
State of Punjab	Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. N. MITTAL

* * *

Present : Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

L. N. MITTAL, J. (Oral) :

Mr. Jasbir Singh Dadwal, Advocate for Mr. Vivek Thakur, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant Raj Kumar.

Accused Paramjit Singh @ Pumma has filed this petition for anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 55 dated 26.09.2011, under Sections 323, 324, 452, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (in short – IPC) (offence under Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Rawalpindi, District Kapurthala.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the complainant and also perused the case file.

Counsel for the complainant submitted that grievous hurt with sharp weapon falling within the parameters of Section 326 IPC is attributed to the petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner did not controvert this factual position.

Since grievous hurt with sharp weapon falling under Section 326 IPC is attributed to the petitioner, in my considered opinion, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed, without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the case.

October 31, 2011

monika

**(L. N. MITTAL)
JUDGE**