

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30.06.2011 CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VENKATARAMAN

W.P. (MD) No. 7018 of 2011

and

M.P. (MD) No.1 of 2011

K.Manickam : Petitioner

Vs.

The District Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Marketing
Corporation Ltd., (TASMAC),
Madurai at Ramanathapuram,
Main Road, Manalur Post,
Sivagangai District.

: Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issue of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order passed by the respondent in Na.Ka.No.435/2011/D, dated 05.05.2011 and quash the same and consequently, direct the respondent to reinstate the petitioner with the services.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.V.Rajkumar

For Respondent : Mr.K.Mahendran, Standing Counsel

ORDER

Mr.K.Mahendran, learned Standing Counsel, takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent, the Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

- 2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent.
- 3. Even though the petitioner has come up with the present Writ Petition challenging the impugned order dated 05.05.2011 of the respondent, an order of suspension, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the petitioner has given a representation dated 21.06.2011 to the respondent, to revoke the order of suspension and the same could be directed to be considered by the respondent.
- 4. Considering the above said submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, I direct the petitioner to send a copy of the representation dated 21.06.2011 to the https://hcservices.com.org/dianatervices/ithin a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on receipt of the same, the respondent is

directed to consider the same on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks thereafter. At this juncture, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the codelinquent, who has been suspended, has been reinstated in service. The petitioner can set out the said fact also in the representation to be sent to the respondent.

 $\,$ 5. The Writ Petition stands disposed of in the above terms. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

Sd/-Assistant Registrar(P&A)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To
The District Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Marketing
Corporation Ltd., (TASMAC),
Madurai at Ramanathapuram,
Main Road, Manalur Post,
Sivagangai District.

+1cc to Mr.R.V.Rajkumar, Advocate, Sr.No. 20940

Order made in W.P.(MD)No.7018 of 2011 Dated: 30.06.2011

SML SMA/07.7.2011/3C/2P