



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Wednesday, the Twenty Eighth day of December Two Thousand Eleven  
PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Mr.Justice S.RAJESWARAN**

CRL OP(MD) No.17299 of 2011

1. GANDHIAMMAL
2. THANGADURAI
3. PAULDURAI
4. PAKKILDURAI
5. SELVINDURAI
6. SEENIAMMAL : PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NOS.1 to 6
7. RAJADURAI
8. GRASBEL
9. KANIRAJ : PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NOS.7,8 & 10

Vs.

THE STATE REP.BY  
THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH, TUTICORIN.  
CRIME NO.69/2011,  
TUTICORIN DISTRICT. : RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S. V.KATHIRVELU Senior Counsel for  
Mr. S.RAGAVENTHRE Advocate

For Respondent : Mr. C.RAMESH Additional Public Prosecutor


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Section 465, 471, 477(A) and 420 I.P.C. in Crime No.69 of 2011 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2. Heard Mr.S.Kathirvelu, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners and Mr.C.Ramesh, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent State.

3. According to the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners,  
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>  
accused Nos.1 to 7 are the legal heirs of one T.Tangaraj Nadar and A8  
is the Document Writer and A9 is the purchaser of the land and A10 is



the Village Menial in the concerned Village. Accused Nos.1 to 7, as the legal heirs of the said T.Tangaraj Nadar, had sold the property in Survey No.45/1B to the extent of 1 acre and 25 cents to accused No.9. The *de facto* complainant's father's name is T.Tangaraj and on that basis, he seems to be given a complaint stating that the land belongs to the defacto complainant and his father and the accused have cheated them by claiming falsely that they are the owners of the property and sold it.

4. In view of the fact that the accused before this Court seems to be claiming title over the property as the legal heirs of T.Tangaraj Nadar and they sold the property, I am of the view that they are entitled to get anticipatory bail from this Court with certain conditions.

5. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I, Turicorin, and on executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that petitioners 2 to 5 and 7 to 9 shall report before the concerned Magistrate daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders. Petitioner Nos.1 and 6, who are ladies, shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for the purpose of interrogation. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

6. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-  
28/12/2011

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.1  
TUTICORIN.
2. -DO- THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
TUTICORIN DISTRICT.
3. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
4. THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,  
TUTICORIN, TUTICORIN DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S. S.RAGAVENTHRE Advocate SR.No.46161

ORDER IN  
CRL OP (MD) No.17299 of 2011  
Date :28/12/2011

NSV/29.12.11/3P/6C