IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CWP Nos. 8291, 8293 & 8490 of 2011.

Decided on: September 30, 2011.

CWP No. 8291 of 2011

Prem SinghPetitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and othersRespondents.

CWP No. 8293 of 2011

Lalita DeviPetitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and othersRespondents.

CWP No. 8490 of 2011

Sita DeviPetitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and othersRespondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kurian Joseph, Chief Justice The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.K. Ahuja, Judge.

For the petitioner(s): Mr.D.K. Khanna, Advocate, in CWPs No.8291 & 8293 of

2011.

Mr.Onkar Jairath, Advocate, in CWP No.8490 of 2011.

For the Respondents: Mr. R.K. Bawa, A.G. with Mr. Ankush Dass Sood, Addl. A.G.

and Mr. J.K. Verma, Dy.A.G.

Justice Kurian Joseph, C.J. (Oral)

The petitioner(s) claim work charge status/regularization with all consequential benefits from the date they completed 10 years of continued service. Reliance is placed on the decision of the Supreme Court rendered in *Mool Raj Upadhyaya* vs. *State of H.P. and others*, 1994 Supp. (2) SCC 313.

2. The learned Deputy Advocate General points out that the factual aspects are to be verified by the competent authority. The petitioner(s) may file appropriate representation(s) furnishing all factual details before the second respondent within a period of one month from today. The second respondent/competent authority will look into the matter(s), verify the facts and pass appropriate orders

thereon in accordance with law, in the light also of the decision referred to above within a period of another four months. The petitioner(s) will produce a copy of this judgment along with a copy of the judgment referred to in this judgment while submitting the representation(s).

3. With the above observations, the petitions stand disposed of, so also the pending applications(s) if any.

(Justice Kurian Joseph), Chief Justice.

September 30, 2011. (mlg/tilak)

(Justice V.K. Ahuja), Judge.