

28.03.2013

Present: Mr. R.L. Sood, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Arjun Lall, Advocate,
for the non-applicant/petitioner.

Mr. K.R. Thakur, Advocate, for the applicant/respondent.

CMP No. 323 of 2013

Reply stands filed.

2. Heard. Without going into the respective contentions raised on behalf of the parties, in the interest of justice the applicant/respondent is granted further time up to **30.06.2013** to vacate the demised premises and hand over its vacant and peaceful possession to the non-applicant/petitioner on or before 30.06.2013, subject to the condition that the applicant/respondent shall have to pay use and occupation charges in respect of the demised premises to the non-applicant/petitioner for the period 01.04.2013 to 30.06.2013 @ ₹ 1000/- (one thousand) per month, payable on or before 10th of each month, starting from April, 2013. Let requisite undertaking in terms of this order be filed by the applicant/respondent in the Registry of this Court within two weeks from today. In case the applicant/respondent fails to file the requisite undertaking within the stipulated time and further fails to deliver the possession of the demised premises to the non-applicant/petitioner by 30.06.2013, the non-applicant/petitioner shall be entitled for obtaining possession of the demised premises from the applicant/respondent through police in terms of judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in *Ram*

...2...

Prakash Sharma vs. Bulbul Birla (dead) by LRs and others, (2011) 6 Supreme Court Cases 449, and he shall also be liable for contempt of Court.

3. The application stands disposed of.

Copy dasti.

(V.K. Sharma)
Judge

March 28, 2013
(virender)