IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CWP Nos. 1603 and 1633 of 2011.

Decided on: March 31, 2011.

CWP No. 1603 of 2011

Joginder Singh Verma and ors. vs. State of H.P. and ors.

CWP No. 1633 of 2011

Ramesh Chand vs. State of H.P. and ors.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kurian Joseph, Chief Justice The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.K. Ahuja, Judge.

For the petitioners : Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. R.K. Bawa, Advocate General,

with Mr. J.K. Verma, Dy.A.G.

Justice Kurian Joseph, C.J. (Oral)

The petitioners claim the benefit of ad-hoc service followed by regular service for the purpose of pension and increments. According to the petitioners, the issue is covered in their favour by the judgment of this Court rendered in LPA No.36 of 2010, *Sita Ram* vs. *State*. It is for the respondents to examine the matter. Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent No.1/competent authority to examine the matter in light of the judgment, referred to above, and take appropriate action within a period of four months from the date of production of a copy of this judgment, along with a copy of the writ petition and a copy of the judgment referred to above, by the petitioner concerned.

2. These writ petitions are disposed of, so also the pending applications, if any.

(Justice Kurian Joseph), Chief Justice

31st March, 2011 (BSS/pankaj) (Justice V.K. Ahuja), Judge.