

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

C.R. No. 45 of 2008.

Decided on : 31st March, 2011.

Paras Ram Tailor.

...Petitioner.

-Versus-

Master Abhishek Vashist and another.

...Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the petitioner. : Mr. K.S. Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents. : Mr. B.C. Verma, Advocate.

Rajiv Sharma, Judge (Oral):

With the consent of parties, the revision petition is disposed of on the following terms:

“Though the orders passed by both the authorities are upheld/sustained, however, it is directed that only on the plans being sanctioned by the competent authority, the order of eviction shall be available for execution. The sanction or approved plans shall be produced before the executing court, whereupon the executing court shall allow a reasonable time to the tenant for vacating the property and delivering possession to the landlord. Till then, the tenant shall remain liable to pay charges for use and occupation of the premises at the same rate at which they are being paid earlier. Subject to these modifications, the orders passed by both the authorities below are maintained.”

¹ *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?. No.*

2. The pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of. No costs.

(Rajiv Sharma)
Judge

March 31, 2011.
(bhupender)

