

pdp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 298 OF 2011

Mohd. Siddiqui Ansari

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and anr.

.. Respondent

Mr. P. M. Pradhan for petitioner.

Mrs.M.M. Deshmukh, APP for State.

CORAM: B. H. MARLAPALLE &
RANJIT MORE, JJ.

FEBRUARY 28, 2011.

P.C.

1. We have heard Mr. Pradhan, the learned counsel for the petitioner. We have perused the statement dated 10/11/2010 recorded while registering C.R. No. I-366 of 2010. Mr. Pradhan has also relied upon the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of State of Haryana and ors. vs. Bhajan Lal and ors. **[1992 Supp (1) SCC 335]**.

2. Mrs. Deshmukh, the learned APP, placed before us the

investigation papers, including the statements recorded of some material witnesses while investigating into C.R. No. I-366 of 2010.

3. We are satisfied that a case for investigation has been made out for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 147, 324, 384, 504 and 506 (II) of IPC. There is no case made out to quash the CR in this writ petition.

4. Hence, the petition is rejected.

(RANJIT MORE, J.)

(B. H. MARLAPALLE, J.)