

1
FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

CA/7756/2011
IN
CA/72550/2011
In
WP/9926/2010

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

RAST/7756/2011
In
WP/9926/2010
NILKANTA BHAGWAT KHARDE AND ANR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

Mr. S. M. Kulkarni, Advocate for the
Petitioner

Mr. V. H. Dighe, A.G.P. for the Respondent/
State Authorities.

1. By consent, review application is heard
for admission.

2. The applicant is seeking review of the
order dated 19th October, 2010 passed in Writ
Petition No.9926 of 2010. The relevant
portion of the order reads thus:

"1. The limited grievance in the petition
is that though the Sub-Divisional Officer,
Shrirampur, vide order dated 5th March,
2009, has directed the Respondent No.3 to
hear all the concerned parties, regarding
the amount of compensation to be paid to
the tenants and the land owners, the same
is not yet decided by the Respondent No.3.
2. The Respondent No.3 is directed to
decide the matter in accordance with the
directions of the Sub-Divisional Officer,
Shrirampur, dated 5th March, 2009, after
giving an opportunity of hearing to all
the concerned parties. The same shall be

2
FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

CA/7756/2011
IN
CA/72550/2011
In
WP/9926/2010

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

done within a period of three months from
today."

3. From bare perusal of the order it is clear that no prejudice whatsoever will be caused to the petitioner. In this order, the concerned Authority/ Respondent No.3 is simply directed to decide the matter in accordance with the directions of the Sub Divisional Officer after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned.

4. It is open to the petitioner to seek hearing before the concerned authority if he has concern with the matter. With these observations, review application is dismissed as no case for review is made out.

[S. B. DESHMUKH, J.]
Dated:30/06/2011.
ans/7756

[D. B. BHOSALE, J.]