

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR



Criminal Revision No.633 of 2007

APPLICANT/: (Non-applicant)

Ranjeet Singh, S/o Baijnath Singh, aged 32 years, resident of Janjgir, behind P.H.C., Police Station & District Janjgir 'Chhattisgarh'.

<u>Versus</u>

NON-APPLICANTS/: (Applicants)

- 1. Smt. Vandana Singh, W/o Ranjeet Singh, aged 25 years,
- Ku. Shefali, D/o Ranjeet Singh, aged 10 years,
- Ku. Vaishali, D/o Ranjeet Singh, aged 9 years,

No.1 & 2 'MINOR', through guardian mother Smt. Vandana Singh N.A.No.1.

All resident of Moti Sagar Paara Korba, Thana Kotwali, District Korba 'Chhattisgarh'.

{Criminal revision under Section 19 sub-section (4) of the Family Courts Act read with Section 397 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973}

Present:

Mr. R.N. Jha, counsel for the applicant.

Mr. Sanjay Patel, counsel for the non-applicants.

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. T.P. Sharma, J

ORAL ORDER

(31-3-2011)

- 1. By this revision under Section 19 (4) of the Family Courts Act, the applicant has challenged legality and propriety of the order dated 19-6-2007 passed by the Judge, Family Court, Korba in Criminal MJC No.63/2007 whereby learned Judge, Family Court has awarded maintenance of Rs.500/- per month to each of the non-applicants i.e. wife & children of the applicant, under Section 125 of the CrPC.
- 2. I have heard learned counsel for the parties, perused the order impugned and record of the Family Court.
- 3. Evidence of the parties and the order impugned reveals that non-applicant No.1 is wife of the applicant and non-applicants No.2 & 3 are daughters of the applicant. The applicant is under obligation to pay



maintenance to non-applicants No.2 & 3 and non-applicants No.2 & 3 are not under obligation to prove the fact that why they are living separately.

- 4. As regards the question of separate living of non-applicant No.1 and claim of maintenance, non-applicant No.1 was under obligation to prove the fact that she is living separately from her husband under just and reasonable cause. Para 7 of evidence of Ranjeet Singh the applicant herein, reveals that after compromise in the Lok Adalat he was residing with his wife & children, thereafter, as a result of quarrel, he left his wife and took his both children with him and started paying maintenance of Rs.500/- per month to non-applicant No.1. This evidence is sufficient to establish the fact that non-applicant No.1 is residing separately under just and reasonable cause and the applicant has neglected her.
- 5. After considering the material produced on behalf of the parties, the Family Court has awarded maintenance of Rs.500/- per month to each of the non-applicants. Considering the present cost of living and price index, the amount of award is not excessive requiring any interference. Consequently, the revision is dismissed.

6. I.A.No.2/2007 stands disposed of.

Sd/-T. P. Sharma Judge

Soma