

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Single Bench

(Contempt Case (C) No.....32...../2011)

PETITIONER

:

Jhadilal S/o Badal Yadav

Aged about 84 year R/o

Fuljhariya psara Tahsil -

Sarangarh District - Raigarh

(C.G.)

PH No. 382/11
Presented by Shri. Anand
Dated 25-01-11

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS
CONTEMNORS

1.

ASHOK AGRAWAL,

Collector Raigarh Cum Land

acquisition officer Raigarh,

District - Raigarh (C.G.)

2.

KESHAV PRASAD RATHOR

Sub- Divisional Officer

(P.W.D.) Sarangarh; P. W. D.

Office Sarangarh, Distt.-

Raigarh (C.G.)



CONTENPT CASE (C) UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTION 12
OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT.



HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर


76

आदेश पत्रक
Cond. Case (C) No. 32/2011
मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><u>SB : Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.</u> <u>31-01-2011</u></p> <p>Shri V. K. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Heard.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of certain statement made by the learned State counsel, the petition (Writ Petition (C) No.5102 of 2009) was finally disposed of recording that the State authorities would decide the compensation by calculating the same at the market rate and pay the said compensation to the petitioner at the earliest, in accordance with the provisions of Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (For short the Act, 1894) and pass appropriate order within a period of 8 weeks from today. The petitioner was granted liberty to approach the appropriate forum as prescribed under the provisions of the Act, 1894 for further enhancement of compensation.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that even though, six months have lapsed, the matter has not attained finality. Learned counsel submits that though in different offices, the matter has remained pending without passing any final order.</p> <p>From the order passed by this Court on 18-06-2010 in Writ Petition (C) No.5102 of 2009, it transpires that on certain statement made by the learned State counsel, this petition was finally disposed off. There is no specific direction by this Court to complete the exercise within a reasonable time, I am not inclined to issue notice to the respondents at this stage.</p>	

[पीछे देखिये]



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(17)

आदेश पत्रक
Court Case (C) No. 32/2011
मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>The respondents however are obliged under the law to take decision in the matter in view of the statements made by the learned State counsel before this Court. In case, the respondent authorities are fail to pass appropriate orders within a period of three months from today, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the petition.</p> <p>With the aforesaid observation, the petition is finally disposed of</p>	<p>Sd/- Manindra Mohan Shrivastava Judge</p>

Tumane