

5 ①
Single Bench

THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 7691 Of 2011

PETITIONER

: Ku. Sova Swini Metya, D/o. of Shri
R.N.Metya, aged about 32 years,
R/o. M.I.G. 1/916, Amdi Nagar,
HUDCO, Bhilai, District Durg
(Chhattisgarh)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

- :1) State of Chhattisgarh, Through the
Secretary, Panchayat Rural & Social
Welfare Department, Mantralaya,
D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur
(Chhattisgarh),
:2) Chief Executive Officer, District
Panchayat, Durg, District Durg
(Chhattisgarh)

8016/11
Presented by
dated 07/11/11

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



20

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SB : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRITINKER DIWAKER

W.P.(S).NO. 7691/2011

PETITIONER

Ku. Sova Swini Metya

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh &
Others

Shri Pankaj Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Praveen Das, Dy. G.A. for the State.

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA**

**ORDER
(16.12.2011)**

Grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that she being a lady ought to have been posted somewhere near District Durg.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already made a representation (Annexure P/5) dated 30.11.11 and the purpose of filing this petition would be served if direction is issued to the respondent NO.2 to decide her pending representation.

State counsel points out that the petitioner has made representation on 31.11.11 and without waiting for sufficient period she has rushed to this Court and therefore she is not entitled for any such relief.

Be that as it may, if the petitioner has made a representation, it is expected from the competent authority to decide the same in accordance with law.

It is made clear that this court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the authority concerned would be at liberty to decide the representation strictly in accordance with law.

Sd/-
Pritinker Diwaker
Judge