

⑥

1

cf 1007

**Single Bench**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR  
(CHHATTISGARH)

W.P. (S) No. 4114 /2011

PETITIONER(S)

- (1) Shiv Gupta, S/o. Shri Sharvan Prasad, aged about 45 years, working as Pump Operator, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur R/o. Gondpara, Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
- (2) Dilip Yadav, S/o. Late Sadhu Ram Yadav, aged about 40 years, working as Pump Operator, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur R/o. Nutan Colony, Sarkanda, Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
- (3) Dushyant Singh, S/o. Shri Mahesh Singh, aged about 35 years, working as Pump Operator, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur R/o. Gondpara Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
- (4) Mahesh Soni, S/o. Shri Gopi Soni, aged about 32 years, working as Pump Operator, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur R/o. Gondpara Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
- (5) Mahboob Khan, S/o. Shri Amir Khan, aged about 40 years, working as Pump Operator, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur R/o. Gandhi Nagar Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
- (6) Ganesh Dewangan, S/o. Shri Baldau Dewangan, aged about 38 years, working as Kuli, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur, R/o Katiapara, Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

PR. No. 4406/2011  
Presented by Shri. Premod Shrivastava  
dated 26/7/2011

12/10/2011



7

2

- (7) Prem Sonwani, S/o. Late Lallu Sonwani, aged about 40 years, working as Pump Operator, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur R/o. Rajender Nagar, Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
- (8) Dharmendra Dubey, S/o. Late Ganesh Dubey, aged about 39 years, working as Helper, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur R/o. Gondpara Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
- (9) Ajay Soni, S/o. Shri Jainarayan Soni, aged about 36 years, working as Pump Operator, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur R/o. Gondpara Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
- (10) Laxmi Narayan, S/o. Shri Dayaram Kaushik, aged about 39 years, working as Kuli, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur R/o. Salampur Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

- (1) State of Chhattisgarh, through its Secretary, Department of General Administration, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
- (2) Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur, through its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur (C.G.)

RESPONDENTS



8

3

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 THE CONSTITUTION OF  
INDIA



45

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.S. 4114/11 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><b><u>SB : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRITINKER DIWAKER</u></b> <b><u>29.07.2011</u></b></p> <p>Shri P. S. Koshy, counsel for the petitioners. Shri A. V. Sridhar, counsel for respondent No.1. Shri Matin Siddique, counsel for respondent No.2 on advance copy.</p> <p>The limited grievance of the petitioners is that they were appointed in between the year 1995-97 but till date their case for regularization has not been considered by the respondent corporation despite circular of the State Government dated 05.03.2008.</p> <p>Shri Koshi submits that the purpose of filing this petition would be served if direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of the circular dated 05.03.2008 and also in the light of the judgment of the Apex Court in the matter of <b>State of Karnataka and others v. Uma Devi (3) and others (2006) 4 SCC 1</b>. He submits that number of persons junior to the petitioners have already been regularized ignoring the case of the petitioners and the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-7) is pending but no order has been passed by respondent No.2.</p>	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... W.P.S. 4114/11 ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>Learned Counsel for respondent No.2 submits that let the petitioners make fresh representation to respondent No.2 who in turn shall decide the same in accordance with law.</p> <p>Accordingly the petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file a fresh representation before respondent No.2 within two weeks from today and in that eventuality the same would be decided by the concerned authority in accordance with law within a further period of four months.</p> <p>It is made clear that this court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the Municipal Corporation would be at liberty to decide the representation strictly in accordance with law after due scrutiny of the facts.</p>	<p>Sd/- Pritinker Diwaker Judge</p>