

CF 1002

①

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BLASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 5916 / 2011

3(C)

PETITIONER

: Kailash Agrawal,

S/o. Late Shri Ramniwas Agrawal,

Aged about 50 years,

R/o. Jagatpur & Raigarh, Distt.-


Raigarh (C.G.)

6273/2011
Presented by Shri. The Rev.
dated 28/01/2011

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through, the Secretary,
Department of Revenue, D.K.S.
Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Collector, Raigarh, Distt. - Raigarh
(C.G.)
3. Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue),
Raigarh (C.G.)
4. Superintending Engineer, Public Works
Department, Raigarh (C.G.)
5. Executive Engineer, Public Works
Department, Raigarh (C.G.)
6. Director, Directorate of Medical
Education, Raipur, (C.G.)



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS:

As stated in the cause title above.

2. PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS:

8



44

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 5916 OF 2011

PETITIONER

Kailash Agrawal

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present :- Shri Shashank Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S.Murthy, Dy. Advocate General for the State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 30th day of September, 2011)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that, at this stage, the petitioner does not want to press the relief clause 10.1. However, since the Collector, Raigarh, has not considered the representation of the petitioner dated 3/9.2.2011 (Annexure P-10) till date and are proceeding to initiate action for acquiring the land in dispute, thus, the Collector may be directed to consider his representation and take a decision before the acquisition proceeding is initiated.
2. Shri Murthy, learned Dy. Advocate General appearing for the State/ respondents submits that there is no problem to consider the representation of the petitioner and the Collector will take decision as early as possible within a period of four weeks before initiating the process of land acquisition.
3. In view of the above, according to learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, nothing survives for adjudication in this matter.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of with the aforestated observation.

Sd/-

SATISH K. ANGNIHOTRI

Judge