

cf 1007

1

SINGLE BENCH

**BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF  
CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

W.P.(S) No. 540 /2011

**PETITIONER**

**Sagun Singh Thakur,**  
S/o Late. Madho Singh Thakur,  
aged about 51 years, Office Assistant  
Grade-III, O/O Regional Account  
Officer C.S.Power Transmission, Co.  
Ltd., Gudhiyari, Raipur (C.G.).

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS**

- 1-Chhattisgarh State Power Holding  
Co.Ltd.Raipur.  
Through- Managing Director  
CSPHCL, Danganiya, Raipur (C.G.)
- 2- Superintending Engineer,(Jagdalpur  
Circle) Chhattisgarh State Power,  
Distri bution Co.Ltd.,(CSPDCL),  
Jagdalpur (C.G.).N.W. Henery.
- 3- Executive Engineer(O & M)Division  
Chhattisgarh State Power,Distribution  
Co Ltd., (CSPDCL),Bijapur  
Distt. Bastar (C.G.).
- 4- Executive Engineer(O & M)Division  
Chhattisgarh State Power,Distribution  
Co Ltd., (CSPDCL),Dantewada  
Distt. South Bastar (C.G.).
- 5- Superintending Engineer, (Jagdalpur  
Circle),Chhattisgarh State Power,  
Distribution Co.Ltd., (CSPDCL),  
Jagdalpur, Distt.-Bastar (C.G.)  
S.D. Telang.



P. Sah  
C.S. Sah

2

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICAL 226/227 OF CON-**  
**STITUTION OF INDIA.**

---



**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA, J.**

**W.P.(S) No. 540 of 2011**

**PETITIONER**

Sagun Singh Thakur.

**Versus**

**RESPONDENTS**

Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Co.  
Ltd. Raipur and others.

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.**

Shri C.R. Sahu, counsel for petitioner.

**ORAL ORDER**  
**( 31/01/2011 )**

Following oral order of the Court was passed by  
**Prashant Kumar Mishra, J.**

Heard.

(2) The relief claimed in this writ petition is for a direction to the respondents to pay salary of leave from 04/04/2007 to 11/03/2008 and grant annual grade increment for the period from 2007 to 2010 amounting to Rs. 2,00,000/- with interest as also to pay arrears of wage revision from the period 01/04/2009 till date approximately 2.5 lacs with interest to the petitioner. He has also prays for taking action against the respondents as also for awarding compensation.

(3) At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that he has filed several representations and the same is pending consideration before the concerned Competent Authority of respondents No. 1 to 5. He would submit that if a direction is issued to the respondent to decide his pending representation, the petitioner would pursue the representation before the Authorities.

(4) In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage, it is directed that the petitioner may pursue his pending representation and may also file fresh representation within a period of 15 days from today and thereafter the concerned Competent Authority shall decide the representation in an objective manner and by a reasoned order within a period of six weeks thereafter without being prejudice by the relief claimed by the petitioner seeking initiation of criminal proceedings against the Competent Authority.



64

- 2 -

(5) If the grievance raised by the petitioner is not remedied, he shall be at liberty to file the writ petition afresh.

(6) With this observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-  
**Prashant Kumar Mishra**  
Judge

x

C. K. Datta