WP(C) 3862/2010 BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE T. VAIPHEI

Heard Mr. S. Shyam, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. J. Patowari, learned State counsel appearing for State respondents.

The writ petition is directed against the non-payment of the bill submitted by the petitioner amounting to Rs. 21,75,376/-. The submission of the learned counse list that as the facts in this case is in pari materia with the facts in WP(C No. 1392/2011, similar order may be passed in this case as well. Learned State Counsel submits that he has no objection provided that the State respondents are a llowed to verify the bills of the petitioner in accordance with law. In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties, this writ petition need not be disposed of at this stage on merit but may be disposed of by directing the State respondents to examine the bills of the petitioner in accordance with the decision rendered by the Full Bench of this Court in WA No. 484/2005 and other writ petititions reported in 2008 (4) GLT 1 (FB)(Tamsh er Ali and Ors. Vs. State of Assam and Ors.) (Supra). Order accordingly.