CRP 137/2011 BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE ANIMA HAZARIKA

Challenge in this revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitutio n of India is made against the order dated 30.09.2010 passed in Misc. (A) No. 23 /2009 by the learned Civil Judge, Dibrugarh holding that since both the parties under the litigation have unitedly formed a new Committee, the purpose of the ap peal has become infructuous, thereby confirmed the order dated 19.10.2009 passed by the learned Munsiff No. 1, Dibrugarh in Misc. (J) Case No. 33/2009 arising o ut of Title Suit No. 53/2009 whereby and whereunder the learned trial court has directed that within a period of two months from the date of the order, the peti tioner Committee, the respondent herein in the revision petition shall convene a n extra ordinary general meeting inviting all the registered members of the Dibr ugarh Buddha Samity for formation of the Managing Committee of the Samity afresh and thereupon the members present shall form a new Committee in accordance with the provision of the Constitution, till formation of the new committee as dire cted, the opposite parties i.e., the petitioners herein, in the revision petiti on shall not disturb the entrance and organization of regular meeting by the Co mmittee headed by Shri Sunil Baruah and Sri Uzzal Baruah as per the resolution d ated 12.10.2008, further restraining the petitioners from interfering ndent Committee from discharging the duties of the Committee as per the constitu tion of the Samity.

2. The brief facts needed to be discussed for disposal of the instant revision petition at the motion stage are as follows:

The Dibrugarh Buddha Samity is the plaintiff in the Title Suit No.53/200 9 represented by its present President and Secretary Shri Sunil Baruah and Shri Uzzal Baruah respectively wherein the following reliefs have been sought for:

- (i) For declaration that the plaintiff Committee is constituted lawfully and go t legal entity to conduct the Dibrugarh Buddha Samity;
- (ii) For declaration that the defendants have no right to interfere with the activities of the plaintiff Committee;
- (iii) For declaration that the defendants have no right to occupy part of templ e premises of the plaintiff Samity including the residence quarter of the Priest
 - (iv) For eviction of the defendant No. 1 from the temple premises.
- (v) For permanent prohibiting injunction restraining the defendants from run ning any parallel Samity in the temple premises, holding meeting using articles of the Buddhist temple, documents, books, papers, stamp (seal), collection of su bscription, donation and from issuing any receipt thereof and from interfering t o the activities of the plaintiff Committee and its members and restraining defendants from entering into the office premises of the temple.
- (vi) For mandatory injunction directing the defendants from removing all their personal household articles from the temple premises including office and hostel premises and to deliver all papers including land documents and papers of Thai Consulate General of India regarding the donation of Buddha Statute three Nos. to Dibrugarh Buddha Samity to the plaintiff Committee.
- $\left(\text{vii}\right)$ For cost of the suit and for any other relief as the Court thinks deem an d fit $% \left(\text{vii}\right)$.
- 3. Alongwith the plaint, an application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read wi th section 151 of the Civil Procedure Code (CPC for short) and section 37 of the Specific Relief Act was filed being Misc. Case No. 33 of 2009 in Title Suit No. 53/2009 contending inter alia that the plaintiff/petitioner has got landed property in its own name measuring 3 K 9 L of periodic patta No. 37 covered by Dag N o. 187 at Grahambazar ward, District Dibrugarh together with a Buddhist temple, hostel, guest house, office premises, Priest residence and other structures standing thereon in ward No. 17 of Dibrugarh Municipality Dibrugarh. The Purbanchal Bhikkhu Sangha recommended the defendant/opposite party No. 1 to appoint him to be a priest to do religious function in the Buddhist temple and accordingly he w

as appointed as priest and entrusted the charge of doing religious functions in the Buddhist temple who was earlier a Publicity Secretary of Purbanchal Bhikkhu Sangha. Thereafter, the defendant No. 1/opposite party No.1 took the charge of P riest for a period of one year who was subsequently entrusted with the work of P riest/Monk from year to year. Consequently thereupon, he became the President of the Managing Committee of the temple. But due to the illegal activities and for doing some acts adverse to the interest of the Buddhist, he was terminated from the office of Presidentship followed by his resignation from the post of Presid ent and accordingly a General Meeting was held on 11.09.2005 and a new Managing Committee had been formed with a new President and Secretary Shri Subhasmay Baru ah and Shri Sunil Kumar Baruah respectively. Thereafter the said Ex-President wi th the aid of the President Shri Pranab Kumar Baruah without giving notice to th e Secretary Shri Dipak Baruah and nine(9) other members of the Executive Committ ee held a meeting on 23.03.2008 illegally and the Secretary Shri Dipak Baruah and Advisor Shri Amitav Baruah had been removed from the Managing Committee and took some resolution in the said meeting in an illegal manner. The said action w as taken by the defendant No. 1 for dividing the Committee for his own vested in games for which the matter was referred to the Purban terest and started foul chal Bhikkhu Sangha, Margherita who enquired into the matter and issued show cau se notice upon defendant No.1/opposite party No.1 but did not give satisfactory reply to the show cause notice and ultimately he was expelled from the post of m embership by the Purbanchal Bhikkhu Sangha vide notice dated 15.07.2008 disallow ing him from doing any religious function in the Buddhist temple as well as Bud dhist society, but he conspired with the then President Shri Pranab Kumar Barua h, continued his activities holding the earlier post for which the Committee hea ded by the President Shri Pranab Kumar Baruah was dis-approved and his Committee has been expelled by the Purbanchal Bikhu Sangha for which a new Committee was formed in the General Meeting held on 12.10.2008 in the temple premises in prese nce of the ordinary, existing and most active members of Dibrugarh Buddha Samity . Accordingly, the new Committee was formed with the President Shri Sunil Baruah and the Secretary Shri Uzzal Baruah and some resolutions were taken in the Gene ral Meeting. The members who resigned earlier from the Committee were also prese nt in the meeting and they have formally announced their resignation in the meet ing and thereby the earlier Committee was dissolved in formal and constitutional manner. The new Committee has got approval from Purbanchal Bhikkhu Sangha and t hereby got legal entity according to their constitution but the earlier Presiden t Shri Pranab Kumar Baruah with the aid of the defendant No. 1 including the oth er defendants have run a parallel Committee without vacating the office premises occupied by the defendant No. 1 thereby misappropriating the fund of the Dibrug arh Bhuddha Samity without proper authority and hence the misc. case, seeking an ad-interim prohibitory injunction restraining the defendants/opposite parties f rom doing any activities in the suit premises including mandatory injunction dir ecting to deliver keys of the temple premises including the quarters, hostel, gu est house, library and to deliver books, books of account and all properties o f the temple and its land documents and all properties attached to the premises to the plaintiff/petitioner Committee till disposal of the suit.

4. The defendants contested the miscellaneous case by filing show cause con tending, inter alia, that the statements made in paragraphs 1 to 16 are denied s ave and except which are admitted by the opposite parties. By way of filing the show cause reply, it was contended that the opposite party No. 1, who is one of the members of Purbanchal Bhikkhu Sangha and he is authorized to do all religious acts by the said Purbanchal Bhikkhu Sangha and the opposite party Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 5 have been decorated with the posts of President, Secretary, Treasurer and Executive Member of the Managing Committee who are elected by the public by adopting all legal procedures and formalities. The Dibrugarh Buddha Samity is presently smoothly run by the opposite party No. 1 in all spheres and therefore the contentions of the paragraph No. 2 of the petition were denied, whereas the contentions of paragraph No. 3 of the petition were partly admitted and partly denied. As to the real fact it has been stated that the opposite party No. 1 arrived a

t Dibrugarh Buddha temple in the year 1981 and the then Secretary of the Managin g Committee Shri Binod Bihari Baruah appointed the opposite party No. 1 as high Priest and since then he is holding the post of President of the Managing Commit tee for the last 27 years including the post of Public Secretary of Purbanchal B hikkhu Samity, Administration Secretary of Purbanchal Bhikkhu Sangha as well as the Executive Member of all Assam Buddhist Association and executive member of a ll India Bhikkhu Sangha. The averments made in Paragraph 4 also has been partly admitted and partly denied. Regarding Paragraph 4, it has been contended that so called President and Secretary Sri Sunil Kumar Baruah and Sri Uzzal Baruah in c ollusion with Sri Amitav Baruah (ex Adviser), Sri Dipak Baruah (Ex-Secretary), S ri Subhashmay Baruah (Ex-President), Shri Dipak Choudhury (Ex-Member), Sri Nripe ndra Choudhury (Ex- Vice President), Sri Asit Baruah (Ex-Treasurer), Sri Kabindr a Baruah (Ex-Member), Sri Dipak Baruah (Ex-Editor) and Sri Sandip Baruah, Dibrug arh Buddha Samity had been trying to dispossess the opposite party No. 1 for ful fillment of their malafide intention but being failure to divest the ower of the opposite party No. 1 they have filed the instant suit.

Moreover, Shri Dipak Baruah and Shri Amitav Baruah were removed for anti-social and anti-religious activities to take revenge with the existing Managing Committee for their individual benefit defying the present status of Dibrugarh Buddhist Samity and accordingly prayed for dismissal of the Misc. Case arising o ut of TS No. 53/2009.

The learned trial court took up the Misc. Case on 19.10.2009 and after narrating the brief facts as indicated above taking into account the os. 21 and 22 (notices>) filed by the petitioner that the Purbanchal Bhikkhu S angha held the opposite party No. 1 as a man of ill character and y he was expelled from the membership of the Purbanchal Bhikkhu Sangha and also considering the resolution dated 23.05.2007 adopted by the Managing Committee th at the Priest i.e. the opposite party No. 1 informed the house that if the majo rity members do not desire him as the Priest then for the interest of the Vihar (Mandir) he will tender his resignation as well as the resolution dated 12.10.2 008 wherein thirtyfive (35) members formed a new Managing Committee of the petit ioner's Samity headed by Shri Sunil Kumar Baruah and Shri Uzzal Baruah who were elected as President and the Secretary respectively. On the other hand, from th e new proceeding book submitted by the opposite parties, it appears that on 23.1 1.2008, 26 (twenty six) numbers of members constituted a new Committee of the pe titioner Samity, wherein, amongst others, the opposite party No. 2 was elected a s the President and the opposite party No. 3 was elected as the Secretary, howev er, the said meeting did not dissolve the Committee formed by the members on 12. 08.2008. Therefore, the learned trial court has held as thus;

.. & &At this stage, it cannot be finalized/decided as to whether the approintment of the Priest in the Dibrugarh Buddha Mandir is made as per the recomme ndation of the Purbanchal Bhikkhu Sangha or the All Assam Bhikkhu Sangha.... & & & & & In order to avoid further complexities, it is directed that within a period of two months from today the petitioner Committee shall convene an extra ordinar y general meeting inviting all the registered members of the Dibrugarh Buddha Sa mity for formation of the Managing Committee of the Samity afresh and thereupon the members present shall form the new Committee in accordance with the provisions of the constitution..... & & & &.which would be for the welfare of the religious institutions.

The learned trial court while concluding the order passed in Misc. Case has further directed that till the formation of the new Committee, as directed in the said order, the opposite parties shall not disturb the entrance and organization of regular meeting by the Committee headed by Shri Sunil Baruah and Shri Uzzal Baruah as per the resolution dated 12.10.2008 and the opposite parties were also restrained from interfering the petitioner Committee from discharging the duties of the Committee as per the Constitution of the Samity. The learned trial Court further directed that till formation of the new Committee the opposite parties shall not undertake any activities in the name of the Dibrugarh Buddha Samity and any party acting to the contrary of the order shall be prosecuted in ac

cordance with law.

- Being aggrieved with the order dated 19.10.2009 rendered by the learned trial court in Misc. (J) Case No. 33/2009 arising out of Title Suit No. 53/2009, the opposite parties took up the matter in appeal being Misc. (A) No. 23/2009 b efore the learned Civil Judge, Dibrugarh. The learned appellate court after disc ussing the arguments advanced by the parties and upon perusal of the materials a vailable on record came to the conclusion that there are two parallel Committees trying to manage the Dibrugarh Buddha Mandir and both the Committees claiming t hemselves as the lawfully constituted bodies and the memo of appeal reveals that the appellants with full cooperation with the Dibrugarh Buddha Samity, public o f Dibrugarh and other dignitaries of the society have been celebrating the on and from 24.10.2009 along with other social activities especiall y for the welfare of the religious society. Considering the order dated 19.10.20 09 and in view of the direction to commence an extra ordinary general meeting fo r formation of the Managing Committee of the Samity afresh in accordance with th e provision of the Constitution, wherefor both the parties have unitedly formed a new Committee in accordance with the provisions of the constitution and thus t he purpose of the appeal has become infructuous holding that there is no illegal ity to be interfered with the order dated 19.10.2009 passed in Misc. (J) Case No . 33/2009 and thereby dismissed the appeal and vacated the order dated 23.10.200 9. Hence this revision petition.
- 7. Admittedly, there are two parallel Committees constituted on 12.08.2008 and 23.11.2008, one by the plaintiff petitioner and the other by the opposite parties/petitioners herein respectively. Both claimed to have duly constituted bod ies in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution and they are performing their religious duties. In the Misc. Case seeking a prohibitory order restraining the appellants along with the mandatory injunction from carrying any activities in the suit premises holding the petitioner is the lawful constituted body formed on 12.10.2008 the learned trial court directed the opposite parties not to disturb the entrance and organization of regular meeting of the Committee headed by Shri Sunil Baruah and Shri Uzzal Baruah thereby restraining the appellants from interfering with the activities of Dibrugarh Buddha Samity till formation of a new Committee wherein the learned appellate court has held that appeal has become infructuous which do not require to be interfered with in the facts and circumstances of the case.
- 8. It has not been contended in the present petition that there is any erro r apparent on the face of the record, findings of the Courts below are perverse, and/or the Courts below has exercised jurisdiction not vested in it by law and/or has acted in the exercise of its jurisdiction illegally or with material irre gularity while passing the impugned order under challenge.
- 9. I do not find any perversity, jurisdictional error or errors of law in p assing the impugned orders calling for interference of this Court exercising pow er under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.
- 10. In view of the same, this Court finds that no interference is called for with the order dated 30.09.2010 passed in Misc.(A) No.23/2009, confirming the order dated 19.10.2009 in Misc.(J) Case No.33/2009 arising out of T.S. No.53/2009 granting temporary injunction in favour of the opposite parties herein.
- 11. In the result, the revision petition being devoid of merit, the same is dismissed. No costs.