AB 996/2011 BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AK GOSWAMI

Heard Mr. A.K. Sarma, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. B.B. Go goi, learned Addl. PP, Assam.

Case diary, as called, for has been received. I have perused the same.

Mr. Sarma argues that the petitioner is in no way connected with the offence. He is neither the owner nor the driver. He is also not engaged in any transport business. The case diary reveals that the driver of the vehicle had indicated that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle.

Mr. Gogoi submits that the petitioner is not the owner of the vehicle.

In view of the aforesaid, this anticipatory bail application is allowed by makin g interim order dated 7.4.11 absolute. The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigating agency and shall report to the said authority as and when called for

Bail application is disposed of.