

WP(C) 353/2011

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K. MERUNO

Heard Mr. O. Pada, learned counsel for the petitioner and also heard Mr. R. H. N abam, learned Sr. Govt. Advocate for the State respondents.

The petitioner being aggrieved by an order dated 09-08-2011 by which, he has been transferred from Roing to Naharlagun and in his place the private respondent No.3 has been transferred from Anjaw Camp, Tezu to Roing.

Being aggrieved by the said transfer order, the petitioner has submitted a representation to the Respondent No.2 vide annexure-4 to the writ petition. In the said annexure-4, which is the representation submitted by the petitioner, has requested the respondent authorities to consider his case because of some domestic problems. The learned counsel, however, submits that the said representation has not yet been disposed of the respondents and in this writ petition, the only prayer of the petitioner is to issue a direction to the respondents to consider his said representation.

Considering the limited and only prayer of the writ petitioner, I am of the considered view that by keeping this petition pending, no fruitful purpose will be solved, rather this writ can be disposed of with a simple direction to the respondents particularly, the respondent No.2 to dispose of the said representation submitted by the petitioner on domestic reasons.

Since the domestic grounds cannot be considered by the Court, the same can be considered by the respondent authorities of the Govt. However, also since the representation annexed at annexure-4 bears no date, this writ petition is being disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to submit a fresh representation to the respondents and the respondent authorities on receipt of the same shall consider and dispose of the representation within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of the said representation.

With the above direction, this writ petition stands disposed of.